|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **FY 2017 MCOC NOFA Application****Review of Action Steps** |  |  |  |  | **DONE** |
|  | **CA** | **Consultants** | **CoC** | **Committee** |  |
| **HUD Releases GIW to Collaborative Applicants:**  |  |  |  |  |  |
| * Usually 2-3 weeks to return DRAFT to HUD. The final version is returned to the CoC within 6-8 weeks of the original announcement. (2017 GIW not final)
 | X |  |  |  |[x]
| **HUD Announces that Registration is open:** |  |  |  |  |  |
| * Applicant Profile must be updated in e-snaps. Primary Contact and any other authorized users must be added in e-snaps and HDX and granted appropriate read/write/submit rights.
 | X |  |  |  |[x]
| * Registration must be completed and the ARD must match what is on the HUD Approved GIW (No ARD in 2017 as GIWs not finalized yet)
 | X |  |  |  |[x]
| * CoC must vote to approve CA submitting the registration in e-snaps.
 |  |  | X |  |[x]
| * CA submits registration in e-snaps; prints confirmation of submission.
 | X |  |  |  |[x]
| **HUD Opens the NOFA Competition (60 days to complete)** |  |  |  |  |  |
| * CA reviews announcement in its entirety and summarizes any changes
 | X |  |  |  |[ ]
| * CoC needs to determine if they will reallocate and how much
 |  |  | X |  |[ ]
| * CA may hire a consultant to assist with some sections of the NOFA.
 | X | X |  |  |[ ]
| * CA develops timeline for due dates with input from the Steering Com.
 | X |  |  | X Steering |[ ]
| * CA sends out announcement for New and Renewal projectsindicating they **have 30 days to submit their applications in e-snaps.**
 | X |  | X |  |[ ]
| * CoC Project Committee and CA review applications for MATCH, completeness, and to ensure proper attachments are submitted.
 | X | X  |  |  |[ ]
| * CA releases all applications back to projects with issues or if their budgets do not match the HUD Approved GIW, and gives timeline to resubmit
 | X |  | X |  |[ ]
| * CA monitors all timeframes, identifies areas of concern and brings to the Project or Steering Committee for resolution.
 | X |  |  | X Steering |[ ]
| **Project Priority Listing** |  |  |  |  |  |
| * Project Committee monitors projects (This should already be done). Provides results to Selection Committee
 |  |  |  | X Project |[ ]
| * Selection Committee needs to score and rank projects. See NOFA instructions regarding ranking for new projects developed as a result of reallocation or new Planning Grant projects
 |  | XFacilitated by consultant |  | X Selection |[ ]
| * CoC needs to vote on the Selection Committee recommendations
 |  |  | X |  |[ ]
| * CA enters the project priority rankings in e-snaps on all project applications & attaches the scoring spreadsheet to the full application.
 | X |  |  |  |[ ]
| **Submission to HUD** |  |  |  |  |  |
| * Obtain the Certifications of Approval for Consistency with the Consolidated Plan (need to get one from each jurisdiction) and summarize the project listing by region for each approval. (?this may be different in 2017?)
 | X | X |  |  |[ ]
| * Once the application is complete, COC must vote, or designate Steering to vote, to Approve submission by the CA.
 | X |  | X |  |[ ]
| **NOFA Application Sections** | **CA** | **Consultants** | **CoC** | **Committee** |  |
| 1A. Continuum of Care (CoC) Identification (Name, #, CA, HMIS Lead) | X |  |  |  |[x]
| 1B. Continuum of Care (CoC) Engagement | **CA** | **Consultants** | **CoC** | **Committee** |  |
| 1B-1. From the list below, select those organization(s) and/or person(s) that participate in CoC meetings. Using the drop-down boxes, indicate if the organization(s) and/or person(s): (1) participate in CoC meetings; and (2) vote, including selection of CoC Board members. **Responses should be for the period from 5/1/16 to 4/30/17.** | X |  | X |  |[ ]
| 1B-1a. Describe the specific strategy(s) the CoC uses to solicit and consider opinions from organizations and/or persons that have an interest in preventing or ending homelessness. (limit 1000 characters) |  |  | X | X Resource |[ ]
| 1B-2. Describe the CoC's open invitation process for soliciting new members, including any special outreach. (limit 1000 characters) |  |  | X | X Resource |[ ]
| 1B-3. Describe how the CoC notified the public that it will accept and consider proposals from organizations that have not previously received CoC Program funding in the FY 2017 CoC Program Competition, even if the CoC is not applying for new projects in FY 2017. The response must include the date(s) the CoC made publicly knowing they were open to proposals. (limit 1000 characters) |  |  | X |  |[ ]
| 1C. Continuum of Care (CoC) Coordination | **CA** | **Consultants** | **CoC** | **Committee** |  |
| 1C-1. Using the chart below, identify the Federal, State, Local, Private and Other organizations that serve homeless individuals, families, unaccompanied youth, persons who are fleeing domestic violence, or those at risk of homelessness that are included in the CoC’s coordination; planning and operation of projects. Only select "Not Applicable" if the funding source(s) do not exist in the CoC's geographic area. |  |  | X |  |[ ]
| 1C-2. Describe how the CoC actively consults with Emergency Solutions Grant (ESG) recipients in the planning and allocation of ESG funds. Include in the response: (1) the interactions that occur between the CoC and the ESG Recipients in the planning and allocation of funds; (2) the CoC’s participation in the local Consolidated Plan jurisdiction(s) process by providing Point-in-Time (PIT) and Housing Inventory Count (HIC) data to the Consolidated Plan jurisdictions; and (3) how the CoC ensures local homelessness information is clearly communicated and addressed in Consolidated Plan updates. (limit 1000 characters) | X |  | X |  |[ ]
| 1C-3. CoC’s must demonstrate the local efforts to address the unique needs of persons, and their families, fleeing domestic violence that includes access to housing and services that prioritizes safety and confidentiality of program participants. (limit 1000 characters) |  |  | X |  |[ ]
| 1C-3a. CoC’s must describe the following: (1) how regular training is provided to CoC providers and operators of coordinated entry processes that addresses best practices in serving survivors of domestic violence; (2) how the CoC uses statistics and other available data about domestic violence, including aggregate data from comparable databases, as appropriate, to assess the scope of community needs related to domestic violence and homelessness; and (3) the CoC safety and planning protocols and how they are included in the coordinated assessment. (limit 1,000 characters) | X |  | X |  |[ ]
| 1C-4. Using the chart provided, for each of the Public Housing Agency’s (PHA) in the CoC's geographic area: (1) identify the percentage of new admissions to the Public Housing or Housing Choice Voucher (HCV) Programs in the PHA’s that were homeless at the time of admission; and (2) indicate whether the PHA has a homeless admission preference in its Public Housing and/or HCV program.Attachment Required: If the CoC selected, "Yes-Public Housing", "Yes- HCV" or "Yes-Both", attach an excerpt from the PHA(s) written policies or a letter from the PHA(s) that addresses homeless preference. | X |  | X |  |[ ]
| 1C-4a. For each PHA where there is not a homeless admission preference in their written policies, identify the steps the CoC has taken to encourage the PHA to adopt such a policy. (limit 1000 characters) |  |  | X |  |[ ]
| 1C-5. Describe the actions the CoC has taken to: (1) address the needs of Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender (LGBT) individuals and their families experiencing homelessness, (2) conduct regular CoC-wide training with providers on how to effectively implement the Equal Access to Housing in HUD Programs Regardless of Sexual Orientation or Gender Identity, including Gender Identify Equal Access to Housing, Final Rule; and (3) implementation of an anti-discrimination policy. (limit 1000 characters) |  |  | X |  |[ ]
| 1C-6. Criminalization: Select the specific strategies implemented by the CoC to prevent the criminalization of homelessness in the CoC’s geographic area. Select all that apply. |  |  | X |  |[ ]
| 1D. Continuum of Care (CoC) Discharge Planning | **CA** | **Consultants** | **CoC** | **Committee** |  |
| 1D-1. Discharge Planning – State and Local: Select from the list provided, whether the CoC has a discharge policy to ensure those who are discharged from a system of care listed are not discharged directly to the streets, emergency shelters or other homeless assistance programs. Check all that apply. |  |  | X | SHC |[ ]
| 1D-1a. If the applicant did not check all the boxes in 1D-1, provide: (1) an explanation of the reason(s) the CoC does not have a discharge policy in place for the system of care; and (2) provide the actions the CoC is taking or plans to take to coordinate with or assist the State and local discharge planning efforts to ensure persons are not discharged to the street, emergency shelters, or other homeless assistance programs. (limit 1000 characters) |  |  | X | SHC |[ ]
| 1D-2. Discharge Planning: Select the system(s) of care within the CoC’s geographic area the CoC actively coordinates with to ensure persons who have resided in any of the institutions listed below longer than 90 days are not discharged directly to the streets, emergency shelters, or other homeless assistance programs. Check all that apply. |  |  | X | SHC |[ ]
| 1E. Continuum of Care (CoC) Project Review, Ranking, and Selection | **CA** | **Consultants** | **CoC** | **Committee** |  |
| 1E-1. Using the drop-down menu, select the appropriate response(s) that demonstrate the process the CoC used to rank and select project applications in the FY 2017 CoC Program Competition which included (1) the use of objective criteria; (2) at least one factor related to achieving positive housing outcomes; and (3) included a specific method for evaluating projects submitted by victim service providers.Attachment Required: Public posting of documentation that supports the process the CoC used to rank and select project application. |  |  | X | X Project |[ ]
| 1E-2. Severity of Needs and Vulnerabilities. CoC’s must provide the extent the CoC considered the severity of needs and vulnerabilities experienced by program participants in their project ranking and selection process. Describe: (1) the specific vulnerabilities the CoC considered; and (2) how the CoC takes these vulnerabilities into account during the ranking and selection process. (See the CoC Application Detailed Instructions for examples of severity of needs and vulnerabilities.) (limit 1000 characters) |  |  | X | X Project |[ ]
| 1E-3. Using the following checklist, select: (1) how the CoC made publiclyavailable to potential project applicants an objective ranking and selectionprocess that was used for all project (new and renewal) at least 2 days before the application submission deadline; and (2) all parts of the CoC Consolidated Application, the CoC Application attachments, Priority Listing that includes the reallocation forms and Project Listings that show all project applications submitted to the CoC were either accepted and ranked, or rejected and were made publicly available to project applicants, community members and key stakeholders.Attachment Required: Documentation demonstrating the objective ranking and selections process and the final version of the completed CoC Consolidated Application, including the CoC Application with attachments, Priority Listing with reallocation forms and all project applications that were accepted and ranked, or rejected (new and renewal) was made publicly available. Attachments must clearly show the date the documents were publicly posted. | X |  | X | X Project |[ ]
| 1E-4. Reallocation: Applicants must demonstrate the ability to reallocate lower performing projects to create new, higher performing projects. CoC’s may choose from one of the following two options below to answer this question. You do not need to provide an answer for both.Option 1: The CoC actively encourages new and existing providers to apply for new projects through reallocation.Attachment Required - Option 1: Documentation that shows the CoC actively encouraged new and existing providers to apply for new projects through reallocation.Option 2: The CoC has cumulatively reallocated at least 20 percent of the CoC’s ARD between FY 2013 and FY 2017 CoC Program Competitions.No Attachment Required - HUD will calculate the cumulative amount based on the CoCs reallocation forms submitted with each fiscal years Priority Listing. |  |  | X | X Project |[ ]
| 1E-5. If the CoC rejected or reduced project application(s), enter the date the CoC and Collaborative Applicant notified project applicants their project application(s) were being rejected or reduced in writing outside of e-snaps.Attachment Required: Copies of written notification to project applicant(s) that their project application(s) were rejected. Where a project application is being rejected or reduced, the CoC must indicate reason(s) for rejection or reduction. | X |  | X | X Project |[ ]
| 1E-5a. Provide the date the CoC notified applicant(s) their application(s) were accepted and ranked on the Priority Listing, in writing, outside of e-snaps.Attachment Required: Copies of the written notification to project applicant(s) their project application(s) were accepted and ranked on the Priority listing. | X |  | X | X Project |[ ]
| 2A. Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) Implementation | **CA** | **Consultants** | **CoC** | **Committee** |  |
| 2A-1. Does the CoC have in place a Governance Charter or other written documentation (e.g., MOU/MOA) that outlines the roles and responsibilities of the CoC & HMIS Lead?Attachment Required: If “Yes” is selected, a copy of the sections of the Governance Charter, or MOU/MOA addressing roles & responsibilities of the CoC & HMIS Lead | X |  | X | X Steering |[ ]
| 2A-1a. Provide the page number(s) where the roles and responsibilities of the CoC and HMIS Lead can be found in the attached document(s) referenced in 2A-1. In addition, indicate if the page number applies to the Governance Charter or MOU/MOA. | X |  | X | X Steering |[ ]
| 2A-2. Does the CoC have a HMIS Policies and Procedures Manual? Attachment Required: If the response was “Yes”, attach a copy of the HMIS Policies and Procedures Manual. | X |  |  |  |[ ]
| 2A-3. What is the name of the HMIS software vendor? | X |  |  |  |[ ]
| 2A-4. Using drop-down boxes, select the HMIS implementation Coverage area. | X |  |  |  |[ ]
| 2A-5. Per the 2017 HIC use the following chart to indicate the number of beds in the 2017 HIC and in HMIS for each project type within the CoC. If a particular project type does not exist in the CoC then enter "0" for all cells in that project type. | X |  |  |  |[ ]
| 2A-5a. To receive partial credit, if the bed coverage rate is below 85 percent for any of the project types, the CoC must provide clear steps on how it intends to increase this percentage for each project type over the next 12 months. (limit 1000 characters) | X |  |  |  |[ ]
| 2A-6. Annual Housing Assessment Report (AHAR) Submission: How many Annual Housing Assessment Report (AHAR) tables were accepted and used in the 2016 AHAR? | X |  |  |  |[ ]
| 2A-7. Enter the date the CoC submitted the 2017 Housing Inventory Count (HIC) data into the Homelessness Data Exchange (HDX). (mm/dd/yyyy) | X |  |  |  |[ ]
| 2B. Continuum of Care (CoC) Point-in-Time Count | **CA** | **Consultants** | **CoC** | **Committee** |  |
| 2B-1. Indicate the date of the CoC’s 2017 PIT count (mm/dd/yyyy). If the PIT count was conducted outside the last 10 days of January 2017, HUD will verify the CoC received a HUD-approved exception. | X |  |  |  |[ ]
| 2B-2. Enter date the CoC submitted the PIT count data in HDX. (mm/dd/yyyy) | X |  |  |  |[ ]
| 2C. Continuum of Care (CoC) Point-in-Time (PIT) Count: Methodologies | **CA** | **Consultants** | **CoC** | **Committee** |  |
| 2C-1. Describe any change in the CoC’s sheltered PIT count implementation, including methodology and data quality changes from 2016 to 2017. Specifically, how those changes impacted the CoC’s sheltered PIT count results. (limit 1000 characters) | X |  |  |  |[ ]
| 2C-2. Did your CoC change its provider coverage in the 2017 sheltered count? | X |  |  |  |[ ]
| 2C-2a. If “Yes” was selected in 2C-2, enter the change in provider coverage in the 2017 sheltered PIT count, including the number of beds added or removed due to the change. | X |  |  |  |[ ]
| 2C-3. Did your CoC add or remove emergency shelter, transitional housing, or Safe-Haven inventory because of funding specific to a Presidentially declared disaster resulting in a change to the CoC's 2017 sheltered PIT count? | X |  |  |  |[ ]
| 2C-3a. If "Yes" was selected in 2C-3, enter the number of beds that were added or removed in 2017 because of a Presidentially declared disaster. | X |  |  |  |[ ]
| 2C-4. Did the CoC change its unsheltered PIT count implementation, including methodology and data quality changes from 2016 to 2017? CoC’s that did not conduct an unsheltered count in 2016 or did not report unsheltered PIT count data to HUD in 2016 should compare their efforts in 2017 to efforts in 2015. | X |  |  | X Resource |[ ]
| 2C-4a. Describe any change in the CoC’s unsheltered PIT count implementation, including methodology and data quality changes from 2016 to 2017. Specify how those changes impacted the CoC’s unsheltered PIT count results. See Detailed Instructions for more information. (limit 1000 characters) | X |  |  | X Resource |[ ]
| 2C-5. Did CoC implement specific measures to identify youth in the PIT count? | X |  |  |  |[ ]
| 2C-5a. If "Yes" was selected in 2C-5, describe the specific measures the CoC; (1) took to identify homeless youth in the PIT count; (2) during the planning process, how stakeholders that serve homeless youth were engaged; (3) how homeless youth were engaged/involved; and (4) how the CoC worked with stakeholders to select locations where homeless youth are most likely to be identified. (limit 1000 characters) | X |  |  | X Resource |[ ]
| 2C-6. Describe any actions the CoC implemented in its 2017 PIT count to better count individuals and families experiencing chronic homelessness, families with children, and Veterans experiencing homelessness. (limit 1000 characters) | X |  | X | X Resource |[ ]
| 3A. Continuum of Care (CoC) System Performance | **CA** | **Consultants** | **CoC** | **Committee** |  |
| 3A-1. Performance Measure: Reduction in the Number of First-Time Homeless. Describe: (1) the numerical change the CoC experienced; (2) the process the CoC used to identify risk factors of becoming homeless for the first time; (3) the strategies in place to address individuals and families at risk of becoming homeless; and (4) the organization or position that is responsible for overseeing the CoC's strategy to reduce or end the number of individuals and families experiencing homelessness for the first time. (limit 1000 characters) | X |  | X |  |[ ]
| 3A-2. Performance Measure: Length-of-Time Homeless. CoC’s must demonstrate how they reduce the length-of-time for individuals and families remaining homeless. Describe (1) the numerical change the CoC experienced; (2) the actions the CoC has implemented to reduce the length-of-time individuals and families remain homeless; (3) how the CoC identifies and houses individuals and families with the longest length-of-time homeless; and (4) identify the organization or position that is responsible for overseeing the CoC’s strategy to reduce the length-of-time individuals and families remain homeless**.** (limit 1000 characters) | X |  | X |  |[ ]
| 3A-3. Performance Measures: Successful Permanent Housing Placement and Retention Describe: (1) the numerical change the CoC experienced; (2) the CoC’s strategy to increase the rate of which individuals and families move to permanent housing destination or retain permanent housing; and (3) the organization or position responsible for overseeing the CoC’s strategy for retention of, or placement in permanent housing. (limit 1000 characters) | X |  | X |  |[ ]
| 3A-4. Performance Measure: Returns to Homelessness. Describe: (1) the numerical change the CoC experienced, (2) what strategies the CoC implemented to identify individuals and families who return to homelessness, (3) the strategies the CoC will use to reduce additional returns to homelessness, and (4) the organization or position responsible for overseeing the CoC’s efforts to reduce the rate of individuals and families’ returns to homelessness. | X |  | X |  |[ ]
| 3A-5. Performance Measures: Job and Income Growth (limit 1000 characters)Describe: (1) the strategies that have been implemented to increase access to employment and mainstream benefits; (2) how the CoC program-funded projects have been assisted to implement the strategies; (3) how the CoC is working with mainstream employment organizations to help individuals and families increase their cash income; and (4) the organization or position that is responsible for overseeing the CoC’s strategy to increase job and income growth from employment, non-employment including mainstream benefits. | X |  | X |  |[ ]
| 3A-6. Did the CoC completely exclude a geographic area from the most recent PIT count (i.e. no one counted there, and for communities using samples in the area that was excluded from both the sample and extrapolation) where the CoC determined there were no unsheltered homeless people, including areas that are uninhabitable (deserts, forests). | X |  |  | X Resource |[ ]
| 3A.6a. If the response to 3A-6 was “Yes”, what was the criteria and decision-making process the CoC used to identify and exclude specific geographic areas from the CoC’s unsheltered PIT count? (limit 1000 characters) | X |  |  | X Resource |[ ]
| 3A-7. Enter the date the CoC submitted the System Performance Measures data in HDX, which included the data quality section for FY 2016. (mm/dd/yyyy) | X |  |  |  |[ ]
| 3B. Continuum of Care (CoC) Performance and Strategic Planning Objective | **CA** | **Consultants** | **CoC** | **Committee** |  |
| 3B-1. Compare the total number of PSH beds, CoC program & non CoC program funded, that were identified as dedicated for chronically homeless persons in the 2017 HIC, compared to those identified in the 2016 HIC. | X |  |  |  |[ ]
| 3B-1.1. In the box below: (1) "total number of Dedicated PLUS Beds" provide the total number of beds in the Project Allocation(s) that are designated ad Dedicated PLUS beds; and (2) in the box below "total number of beds dedicated to the chronically homeless:, provide the total number of beds in the Project Application(s) that are designated for the chronically homeless. This does not include those that were identified in (1) above as Dedicated PLUS Beds.  |  |  | X |  |[ ]
| 3B-1.2. Did the CoC adopt the Orders of Priority into their standards for all CoC Program funded PSH projects as described in Notice CPD-16-11: Prioritizing Persons Experiencing Chronic Homelessness and Other Vulnerable Homeless Persons in Permanent Supportive Housing. |  |  | X |  |[ ]
| 3B-2.1. Using the following chart, check each box to indicate the factor(s) the CoC currently uses to prioritize households with children based on need during the FY 2017 Fiscal Year. |  |  | X |  |[ ]
| 3B-2.2. Describe: (1) the CoC’s current strategy and timeframe for rapidly rehousing every household of families with children within 30 days of becoming homeless; and (2) the organization or position responsible for overseeing the CoC’s strategy to rapidly rehouse families with children within 30 days of becoming homeless. (limit 1000 characters) |  |  | X |  |[ ]
| 3B-2.3. Compare the number of RRH units available to serve families from the 2016 and 2017 HIC. | X |  | X |  |[ ]
| 3B-2.4. Describe the actions the CoC is taking to ensure emergency shelters, transitional housing, and permanent supportive housing (PSH and RRH) providers within the CoC adhere to anti-discrimination policies by not denying admission to, or separating any family members from other members of their family or caregivers based on age, sex, gender, LGBT status, marital status or disability when entering a shelter or Housing. (limit 1000 characters) |  |  | X | X Youth |[ ]
| 3B-2.5. From the list below, select each of the following the CoC has strategies to address the unique needs of unaccompanied homeless youth. |  |  | X | X Youth |[ ]
| 3B-2.6. From the list below, select each of the following the CoC has a strategy for prioritization of unaccompanied youth based on need. |  |  | X | X Youth |[ ]
| 3B-2.7. Describe: (1) the strategies used by the CoC, including securing additional funding to increase the availability of housing and services for youth experiencing homelessness, especially those experiencing unsheltered homelessness; (2) provide evidence the strategies that have been implemented are effective at ending youth homelessness; (3) the measure(s) the CoC is using to calculate the effectiveness of the strategies; and (4) why the CoC believes the measure(s) used is an appropriate way to determine the effectiveness of the CoC’s efforts. (limit 1500 characters) |  |  | X | X Youth |[ ]
| 3B-2.8. Describe: (1) How the CoC collaborates with youth education providers, including McKinney-Vento local educational authorities and school districts; (2) the formal partnerships the CoC has with these entities; and (3) the policies and procedures, if any, that have been adopted to inform individuals and families who become homeless of their eligibility for educational services. (limit 1000 characters) |  |  | X | X Youth |[ ]
| 3B-2.9. Does the CoC have any written formal agreements, MOU/MOAs or partnerships with one or more providers of early childhood services & supports? Select “Yes”or“No”. |  |  | X | X Youth |[ ]
| 3B-3.1. Provide the actions the CoC has taken to identify, assess, and refer homeless Veterans who are eligible for Veterans Affairs services and housing to appropriate resources such as HUD-VASH and Supportive Services for Veterans Families (SSVF) program and Grant and Per Diem (GPD). (limit 1000 characters) |  |  | X | X Vets |[ ]
| 3B-3.2. Does the CoC use an active list or by name list to identify all Veterans experiencing homelessness in the CoC? |  |  | X | X Vets |[ ]
| 3B-3.3. Is the CoC actively working with the VA and VA-funded programs to achieve the benchmarks and criteria for ending Veteran homelessness? |  |  | X | X Vets |[ ]
| 3B-3.4. Does the CoC have sufficient resources to ensure each Veteran is assisted to quickly move to permanent housing using a Housing First approach? |  |  | X | X Vets |[ ]
| 4A. Continuum of Care (CoC) Accessing Mainstream Benefits and Additional Policies | **CA** | **Consultants** | **CoC** | **Committee** |  |
| 4A-1. Select from the drop-down (1) each type of healthcare organization the CoC assists program participants with enrolling in health insurance, & (2) if the CoC provides assistance with effective utilization of Medicaid & other benefits. |  |  | X |  |[ ]
| 4A-1a. Mainstream BenefitsCoC program funded projects must be able to demonstrate they supplement CoC Program funds from other public and private resources, including: (1) how the CoC works with mainstream programs that assist homeless program participants in applying for and receiving mainstream benefits; (2) how the CoC systematically keeps program staff up-to-date regarding mainstream resources available for homeless program participants (e.g. Food Stamps, SSI, TANF, substance abuse programs); and (3) identify the organization or position that is responsible for overseeing the CoC’s strategy for mainstream benefits. (limit 1000 characters) |  |  | X | X Resource |[ ]
| 4A-2. Low Barrier: Based on the CoC’s FY 2017 new and renewal project applications, what percentage of Permanent Housing (PSH) & Rapid Rehousing (RRH), Transitional Housing (TH), Safe-Haven, and SSO (Supportive Services Only-non-coordinated entry) projects in the CoC are low-barrier? | X |  | X | X Project |[ ]
| 4A-3. Housing First: What percentage of CoC Program Funded PSH, RRH, SSO (non-coordinated entry), safe-haven and Transitional Housing; FY 2017 projects have adopted the Housing First approach, meaning that the project quickly houses clients without preconditions or service participation requirements? | X |  | X | X Project |[ ]
| 4A-4. Street Outreach: Describe (1) the CoC's outreach and if it covers 100 percent of the CoC's geographic area; (2) how often street outreach is conducted; and (3) how the CoC has tailored its street outreach to those that are least likely to request assistance. (limit 1000 characters) |  |  | X | X Resource |[ ]
| 4A-5. Affirmative OutreachSpecific strategies the CoC has implemented that furthers fair housing as detailed in 24 CFR 578.93(c) used to market housing and supportive services to eligible persons regardless of race, color, national origin, religion, sex, gender identify, sexual orientation, age, familial status, or disability; who are least likely to apply in the absence of special outreach. Describe: (1) the specific strategies that have been implemented that affirmatively further fair housing as detailed in 24 CFR 578.93(c); and (2) what measures have been taken to provide effective communication to persons with disabilities and those with limited English proficiency. (limit 1000 characters) | X |  | X | X Resource |[ ]
| 4A-6. Compare the number of RRH beds available to serve populations from the 2016 and 2017 HIC. | X |  |  |  |[ ]
| 4A-7. Are new proposed project applications requesting $200,000 or more in funding for housing rehabilitation or new construction? | X |  |  |  |[ ]
| 4A-8. Is the CoC requesting to designate one or more SSO or TH projects to serve homeless households with children and youth defined as homeless under other Federal statues who are unstably housed (paragraph 3 of the definition of homeless found at 24 CFR 578.3). | X |  | X |  |[ ]
| 4B. Attachments |  |  |  |  |  |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| [01. 2016 CoC Consolidated Application: Evidence of the CoC's communication to rejected participants](https://esnaps.hud.gov/grantium/viewFormlet_Details.jsf) | Yes |  |  |
| [02. 2016 CoC Consolidated Application: Public Posting Evidence](https://esnaps.hud.gov/grantium/viewFormlet_Details.jsf) | Yes |  |  |
| [03. CoC Rating and Review Procedure (e.g. RFP)](https://esnaps.hud.gov/grantium/viewFormlet_Details.jsf) | Yes |  |  |
| [04. CoC's Rating and Review Procedure: Public Posting Evidence](https://esnaps.hud.gov/grantium/viewFormlet_Details.jsf) | Yes |  |  |
| [05. CoC’s Process for Reallocating](https://esnaps.hud.gov/grantium/viewFormlet_Details.jsf) | Yes |  |  |
| [06. CoC's Governance Charter](https://esnaps.hud.gov/grantium/viewFormlet_Details.jsf) | Yes |  |  |
| [07. HMIS Policy and Procedures Manual](https://esnaps.hud.gov/grantium/viewFormlet_Details.jsf) | Yes |  |  |
| [08. Applicable Sections of Con Plan to Serving Persons Defined as Homeless Under Other Fed Statutes](https://esnaps.hud.gov/grantium/viewFormlet_Details.jsf) | No |  |  |
| [09. PHA Administration Plan (Applicable Section(s) Only)](https://esnaps.hud.gov/grantium/viewFormlet_Details.jsf) | Yes |  |  |
| [10. CoC-HMIS MOU (if referenced in the CoC's Governance Charter)](https://esnaps.hud.gov/grantium/viewFormlet_Details.jsf) | No |  |  |
| [11. CoC Written Standards for Order of Priority](https://esnaps.hud.gov/grantium/viewFormlet_Details.jsf) | No |  |  |
| [12. Project List to Serve Persons Defined as Homeless under Other Federal Statutes (if applicable)](https://esnaps.hud.gov/grantium/viewFormlet_Details.jsf) | No |  |  |
| [13. HDX-system Performance Measures](https://esnaps.hud.gov/grantium/viewFormlet_Details.jsf) | Yes |  |  |
| [14. Other](https://esnaps.hud.gov/grantium/viewFormlet_Details.jsf) | No |  |  |
| [15. Other](https://esnaps.hud.gov/grantium/viewFormlet_Details.jsf) | No |  |  |