

## Renewal Project Scoring Tool(Revised 10-8-15)

Project Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Scorer: \_\_\_\_\_

### 1. HUD Eligibility and Priorities (15 points Total)

*HUD Priorities (12 Pts.)*

- Are you operating a PSH Project or RRH?
- Are you Operating TH for Special populations
- Are you operating other TH
- Renewal HMIS
- Housing First Approach
- Any project application submitted by the CoC that was not included in the HUD-approved GIW

Possible Pts.	Pts. Awarded
10	
7	
5	
10	
2	
1	

*HUD Program Eligibility (5 Pts.)*

- Is the applicant serving HUD's defined homeless population?
- Is the applicant serving an eligible sub-population?
- Is the applicant operating an HMIS project?

Possible Pts.	Pts. Awarded
Up to 5	

### 2. COC Standards & Compliance (15 Pts. Total)

HMIS— Data Collection - Data Completeness Report

- Grade A
- Grade B
- Grade C
- Grade D
- Grade E
- Grade F

Possible Pts.	Pts. Awarded
10	
8	
6	
4	
2	
0	

Based on the Housing Inventory Chart of homeless housing resources, does the applicant currently provide HMIS or aggregage data for Non-McKinney funded homeless programs?

Possible Pts.	Pts. Awarded
5	

*DV Projects (Relating to Data Collection 10 Pts.)*

Is DV project reporting in a comparable data base aggregate data level

If Yes, 10 Pts.  
If No, 0 Pts.

Circle One	Pts. Awarded
10	
0	

*HMIS related to DATA and HMIS system*

Have all HMIS users completed an initial HMIS privacy training

If Yes, 3 Pts.  
If No, 0 Pts.

Circle One	Pts. Awarded
3	
0	

Are all HMIS Participating Agency Agreements on File with the HMIS lead agency.

If Yes, 3 Pts.  
If No, 0 Pts.

Circle One	Pts. Awarded
3	
0	

Do all Vendor Agreements comply with HMIS privacy and other related policies

If Yes, 3 Pts.  
If No, 0 Pts.

Circle One	Pts. Awarded
3	
0	

Does the HMIS Lead provide accurate and complete information from HMIS to HUD the for the Annual Homeless Assessment Report (AHAR)?

If Yes, 3 Pts.  
If No, 0 Pts.

Circle One	Pts. Awarded
3	
0	

Does the HMIS lead use data from HMIS to produce accurate and complete information on participating programs for the Housing Inventory Chart (HIC) in the annual CoC

If Yes, 3 Pts.  
If No, 0 Pts.

Circle One	Pts. Awarded
3	
0	

**3. Maine Continuum of Care Participation**

Does the project participate as defined by MCoC standards & an eligible voting member?

Does the project participate on minimum of one subcommittee with regular attendance?

Does the project participate on more than one subcommittee with regular attendance?

Possible Pts.	Pts. Awarded
10	
8	
10	

#### 4. MCoC Priorities / Project Type

	Possible Pts.	Pts. Awarded
PSH no services	8	
PSH with services	5	
TSH no services	5	
TSH with services	2	
Services only	1	
HMIS	8	
PSH - Rapid Rehousing	8	

#### 5. Geography/Populations Targeted Subgroups (Chronic, Families, Youth, DV, Disability, VA etc.) **Must be based on your Program Description Target Population**

##### May Choose More Than One

	Possible Pts.	Pts. Awarded
Chronic/Long Term Stayers	2	
Disability	2	
Families	1	
Unaccompanied Youth	2	
DV	1	
Veterans	1	

*for HMIS*

Does the HMIS project support data collection for subgroups (chronic, Families, youth, DV, Disability, VA etc)?

If Yes, 3 Pts.

If No, 0 Pts.

Circle One	Pts. Awarded
3	
0	

*for Services only Projects*

Did they serve the number proposed in the application?

If Yes, 3 Pts.

If No, 0 Pts.

Circle One	Pts. Awarded
3	
0	

## 6. Performance Measures (15 Pts.)

	Possible Pts.	Pts. Awarded
Occupancy Rate at 85% or higher?	5	

*Has the applicant met or exceeded HUD's Performance Measures:*

1. Permanent Housing Projects ONLY: 80% of persons maintained Permanent OR;
2. Transitional Housing Projects ONLY: 65% of persons moved to permanent housing

10	
10	

**All other performance Measures reviewed and compiled by Monitoring Threshold Score**

See below.

## 7. Project/ Program Performance (29 Pts.)

*Financial Information and Match (8 Pts.)*

Possible Pts. Pts. Awarded

Does the project APR present financial information in accordance with HUD and other funding source requirements?

2	
---	--

Does the project leverage non-McKinney Funds in a 2:1 ratio

- under 100%
- 10% - 149%
- 150%

0	
5	
10	

*Program Goals and Performance*

Did the project meet proposed HUD goals as set in project application?

- Met all
- Met some
- Met none

2	
1	
0	

*Program Performance*

Possible Pts. Pts. Awarded

From the responses presented by the Renewal Threshold Monitoring Form, please determine the following:

**Self- sufficiency**

Does the project demonstrate how participants are assisted to access mainstream resources, increase income, and maximized ability to live independently?

Up to 5	
---------	--

*HMIS*

Is the HMIS system set up in a way that appropriate providers can run required reports independently  
 If Yes, 1 Pts.  
 If No, 0 Pts.

Circle One	Pts. Awarded
1	
0	

Is the HMIS system available 365 days a year 24/7 with minimal down time?  
 If Yes, 1 Pts.  
 If No, 0 Pts.

Circle One	Pts. Awarded
1	
0	

Do CoC requests for information and changes get met as requested?  
 All of the time, 3 Pts  
 Some of the Time 2 Pts  
 None of the time 0 Pts

Circle One	Pts. Awarded
3	
2	
0	

**8. MCoC Performance/Monitoring Compliance (10 Pts.)**

Please evaluate the project's monitoring compliance:

Possible Pts. Pts. Awarded

High - Met all deadlines for information. Resulted in no APR correction or resubmission.  
 Low - Timely info but review resulted in APR revision  
 Minimal/None - Provided info late and or resulted in Incomplete monitoring or multiple APR Revisions

10	
5	
0	

**Total Points Awarded:**

0	
---	--

*Potential Bonus*

**9. Results of Monitoring from the Monitoring Template Review sheet**

Possible Pts. Pts. Awarded

Monitoring resulted in performance score of 85% or higher  
 Monitoring resulted in performance score of 70-84%  
 Monitoring resulted in performance score of 60-70%  
 Monitoring Resulted in performance Score under 60%

10	
6	
3	
0	

Total Score

0