

Before Starting the CoC Application

The CoC Consolidated Application is made up of two parts: the CoC Application and the CoC Priority Listing, with all of the CoC's project applications either approved and ranked, or rejected. The Collaborative Applicant is responsible for submitting both the CoC Application and the CoC Priority Listing in order for the CoC Consolidated Application to be considered complete.

The Collaborative Applicant is responsible for:

- Reviewing the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA in its entirety for specific application and program requirements.

- Using the CoC Application Detailed Instructions while completing the application in e-snaps.

- Answering all questions in the CoC application. It is the responsibility of the Collaborative Applicant to ensure that all imported and new responses in all parts of the application are fully reviewed and completed. When doing this keep in mind:

- This year, CoCs will see that a few responses have been imported from the FY 2015 CoC Application.

- For some of the questions HUD has provided documents to assist Collaborative Applicants in completing responses.

- For other questions, the Collaborative Applicant must be aware of responses provided by project applications in their Project Applications.

- Some questions require the Collaborative Applicant to attach a document to receive credit. This will be identified in the question.

- All questions marked with an asterisk (*) are mandatory and must be completed in order to submit the CoC Application.

For CoC Application Detailed Instructions click [here](#).

1A. Continuum of Care (CoC) Identification

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

1A-1. CoC Name and Number: ME-502 - Portland CoC

1A-2. Collaborative Applicant Name: Maine State Housing Authority

1A-3. CoC Designation: CA

1A-4. HMIS Lead: Maine State Housing Authority

1B. Continuum of Care (CoC) Engagement

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

1B-1. From the list below, select those organizations and persons that participate in CoC meetings. Then select "Yes" or "No" to indicate if CoC meeting participants are voting members or if they sit on the CoC Board. Only select "Not Applicable" if the organization or person does not exist in the CoC's geographic area.

Organization/Person Categories	Participates in CoC Meetings	Votes, including electing CoC Board	Sits on CoC Board
Local Government Staff/Officials	Yes	Yes	Yes
CDBG/HOME/ESG Entitlement Jurisdiction	Yes	Yes	Yes
Law Enforcement	Yes	Yes	No
Local Jail(s)	Yes	Yes	No
Hospital(s)	Yes	Yes	No
EMT/Crisis Response Team(s)	Yes	Yes	Yes
Mental Health Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
Substance Abuse Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
Affordable Housing Developer(s)	Yes	Yes	Yes
Public Housing Authorities	Yes	Yes	Yes
CoC Funded Youth Homeless Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
Non-CoC Funded Youth Homeless Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
School Administrators/Homeless Liaisons	No	No	No
CoC Funded Victim Service Providers	Not Applicable	No	Not Applicable
Non-CoC Funded Victim Service Providers	Yes	Yes	Yes
Street Outreach Team(s)	Yes	Yes	Yes
Youth advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
Agencies that serve survivors of human trafficking	Yes	Yes	Yes
Other homeless subpopulation advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
Homeless or Formerly Homeless Persons	Yes	Yes	Yes
Veterans Services Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
State Government	Yes	Yes	Yes
HOPWA (AIDS/HIV Service Organizations)	Yes	Yes	No

1B-1a. Describe in detail how the CoC solicits and considers the full range of opinions from individuals or organizations with knowledge of homelessness or an interest in preventing and ending homelessness in the geographic area. Please provide two examples of organizations or individuals from the list in 1B-1 to answer this question.

The PCoC solicits & considers the opinions and involvement of joint Maine CoC & Portland CoC leadership, Emergency Shelter Assessment Committee (ESAC), including the business community, housing developers, and Homeless Voices for Justice (HVJ), Statewide and Regional Councils, City Council oversight through the Public Safety, Health and Human Services Committee, CDBG/ESG entitlement jurisdiction, & ESAC's Long Term Stayers subcommittee. There is an open invitation posted on the City of Portland website. Two examples are HVJ who are comprised of homeless and formerly homeless individuals who advocate and provide information about issues and needs of homeless/formerly homeless to the PCoC and also Portland Housing Authority who prioritizes HCV for CH in the PCoC and participate in regular PCoC meetings and the Scoring Committee.

1B-1b. List Runaway and Homeless Youth (RHY)-funded and other youth homeless assistance providers (CoC Program and non-CoC Program funded) who operate within the CoC's geographic area. Then select "Yes" or "No" to indicate if each provider is a voting member or sits on the CoC Board.

Youth Service Provider (up to 10)	RHY Funded?	Participated as a Voting Member in at least two CoC Meetings between July 1, 2015 and June 20, 2016.	Sat on CoC Board as active member or official at any point between July 1, 2015 and June 20, 2016.
Preble Street	Yes	Yes	Yes
The Opportunity Alliance	No	Yes	Yes
Day One	No	No	No
Portland Adult Education	No	No	No
City of Portland Health & Human Services Dept.	No	Yes	Yes
Portland Outright	No	No	No
Maine Youth Leadership Advisory Team	No	No	No

1B-1c. List the victim service providers (CoC Program and non-CoC Program funded) who operate within the CoC's geographic area. Then select "Yes" or "No" to indicate if each provider is a voting member or sits on the CoC Board.

Victim Service Provider for Survivors of Domestic Violence (up to 10)	Participated as a Voting Member in at least two CoC Meetings between July 1, 2015 and June 30, 2016	Sat on CoC Board as active member or official at any point between July 1, 2015 and June 30, 2016.
Family Cris Services	Yes	No
Sexual Assault Response Services	No	No
Preble Street	Yes	Yes
The Opportunity Alliance	Yes	Yes

1B-2. Explain how the CoC is open to proposals from entities that have not previously received funds in prior CoC Program competitions, even if the CoC is not applying for new projects in 2016. (limit 1000 characters)

The PCoC posts notice of the NOFA, availability of potential new funding, and an open invitation publicly to apply for funds on the City of Portland website and any agency may sign up for the listserv. The PCoC has revised its ranking procedures and scoring tools for new and renewal projects. Scoring includes HUD-established priorities including Opening Doors and CoC, as well as local, state, and federal priorities. Projects meeting scoring threshold are included in the project listing and ranked according to their score.

1B-3. How often does the CoC invite new members to join the CoC through a publicly available invitation? Monthly

1C. Continuum of Care (CoC) Coordination

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

1C-1. Does the CoC coordinate with Federal, State, Local, private and other entities serving homeless individuals and families and those at risk of homelessness in the planning, operation and funding of projects? Only select "Not Applicable" if the funding source does not exist within the CoC's geographic area.

Funding or Program Source	Coordinates with Planning, Operation and Funding of Projects
Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS (HOPWA)	Yes
Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF)	Yes
Runaway and Homeless Youth (RHY)	Yes
Head Start Program	Yes
Housing and service programs funded through Federal, State and local government resources.	Yes

1C-2. The McKinney-Vento Act, requires CoC's to participate in the Consolidated Plan(s) (Con Plan(s)) for the geographic area served by the CoC. The CoC Program Interim rule at 24 CFR 578.7 (c) (4) requires the CoC to provide information required to complete the Con Plan(s) within the CoC's geographic area, and 24 CFR 91.100(a)(2)(i) and 24 CFR 91.110 (b)(2) requires the State and local Con Plan jurisdiction(s) consult with the CoC. The following chart asks for the information about CoC and Con Plan jurisdiction coordination, as well as CoC and ESG recipient coordination.

CoCs can use the CoCs and Consolidated Plan Jurisdiction Crosswalk to assist in answering this question.

	Number
Number of Con Plan jurisdictions with whom the CoC geography overlaps	1
How many Con Plan jurisdictions did the CoC participate with in their Con Plan development process?	1
How many Con Plan jurisdictions did the CoC provide with Con Plan jurisdiction level PIT data?	1
How many of the Con Plan jurisdictions are also ESG recipients?	1
How many ESG recipients did the CoC participate with to make ESG funding decisions?	1
How many ESG recipients did the CoC consult with in the development of ESG performance standards and evaluation process for ESG funded activities?	1

1C-2a. Based on the responses provided in 1C-2, describe in greater detail how the CoC participates with the Consolidated Plan jurisdiction(s) located in the CoC's geographic area and include the frequency and type of interactions between the CoC and the Consolidated Plan jurisdiction(s). (limit 1000 characters)

The City of Portland (COP) is the ESG recipient for Portland & staffs the Portland CoC. ESG subrecipients are very active year-round in the Portland CoC. This collaboration contributes to the coordination of planning on allocation of ESG funds. COP ESG-RRH funds can pay for security deposits & utility deposits, & 1.5 outreach case managers. MaineHousing's ESHAP program, has a RRH focus and supports Navigators at shelters that are ESG-funded. The COP's Social Service Division is coordinating ESHAP in Portland with its Family & Oxford St. Shelters, & with Milestone & Preble Street. Family Crisis Services (DV shelter) also receives ESG funding. The City of Portland consults/collaborates with PCoC to prioritize ESG funding and to develop performance measures. Annually PCoC provides input to the Con Plan Annual Performance Report.

1C-2b. Based on the response in 1C-2, describe how the CoC is working with ESG recipients to determine local ESG funding decisions and how the CoC assists in the development of performance standards and evaluation of outcomes for ESG-funded activities. (limit 1000 characters)

1C-3. Describe how the CoC coordinates with victim service providers and non-victim service providers (CoC Program funded and non-CoC funded) to ensure that survivors of domestic violence are provided housing and services that provide and maintain safety and security. Responses must address how the service providers ensure and maintain the safety and security of participants and how client choice is upheld. (limit 1000 characters)

1C-4. List each of the Public Housing Agencies (PHAs) within the CoC's geographic area. If there are more than 5 PHAs within the CoC's geographic area, list the 5 largest PHAs. For each PHA, provide the percentage of new admissions that were homeless at the time of admission between July 1, 2015 and June 30, 2016 and indicate whether the PHA has a homeless admissions preference in its Public Housing and/or Housing Choice Voucher (HCV) program.

Public Housing Agency Name	% New Admissions into Public Housing and Housing Choice Voucher Program from 7/1/15 to 6/30/16 who were homeless at entry	PHA has General or Limited Homeless Preference
Portland Housing Authority	21.00%	Yes-HCV

If you select "Yes--Public Housing," "Yes--HCV," or "Yes--Both" for "PHA has general or limited homeless preference," you must attach documentation of the preference from the PHA in order to receive credit.

**1C-5. Other than CoC, ESG, Housing Choice Voucher Programs and Public Housing, describe other subsidized or low-income housing opportunities that exist within the CoC that target persons experiencing homelessness.
(limit 1000 characters)**

1C-6. Select the specific strategies implemented by the CoC to ensure that homelessness is not criminalized in the CoC's geographic area. Select all that apply.

Engaged/educated local policymakers:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Engaged/educated law enforcement:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Implemented communitywide plans:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No strategies have been implemented	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other:(limit 1000 characters)	
Low Barrier Shelters/ Harm Reduction	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Mayor's Initiative on Substance Abuse	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Healthcare Taskforce	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

1D. Continuum of Care (CoC) Discharge Planning

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

1D-1. Select the system(s) of care within the CoC's geographic area for which there is a discharge policy in place that is mandated by the State, the CoC, or another entity for the following institutions? Check all that apply.

Foster Care:	<input type="checkbox"/>
Health Care:	<input type="checkbox"/>
Mental Health Care:	<input type="checkbox"/>
Correctional Facilities:	<input type="checkbox"/>
None:	<input type="checkbox"/>

At least one box must be checked.

1D-2. Select the system(s) of care within the CoC's geographic area with which the CoC actively coordinates with to ensure institutionalized persons that have resided in each system of care for longer than 90 days are not discharged into homelessness. Check all that apply.

Foster Care:	<input type="checkbox"/>
Health Care:	<input type="checkbox"/>
Mental Health Care:	<input type="checkbox"/>
Correctional Facilities:	<input type="checkbox"/>
None:	<input type="checkbox"/>

At least one box must be checked.

**1D-2a. If the applicant did not check all boxes in 1D-2, explain why there is no coordination with the institution(s) that were not selected and explain how the CoC plans to coordinate with the institution(s) to ensure persons discharged are not discharged into homelessness.
(limit 1000 characters)**

1E. Centralized or Coordinated Assessment (Coordinated Entry)

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

The CoC Program Interim Rule requires CoCs to establish a Centralized or Coordinated Assessment System which HUD refers to as the Coordinated Entry Process. Based on the recent Coordinated Entry Policy Brief, HUD's primary goals for the coordinated entry process are that assistance be allocated as effectively as possible and that it be easily accessible no matter where or how people present for assistance.

**1E-1. Explain how the CoC's coordinated entry process is designed to identify, engage, and assist homeless individuals and families that will ensure those who request or need assistance are connected to proper housing and services.
(limit 1000 characters)**

Maine's Coordinated Entry System (CES) written standards establishes that persons experiencing homelessness will have access to assistance no matter where/how they present & assistance will be allocated as effectively as possible. The Maine CES is designed to be easy on the client, provide quick & seamless entry into the homelessness services, refer clients to the most appropriate resources, prevent duplication of services, & reduce the overall length of homelessness. An evaluation tool gathers client data & prioritizes them for housing and services based upon HUD and local priorities, including vulnerability & length of time homeless. The tool is web-based & will be available to 2-1-1, VA, existing street outreach programs, & private/ public agencies for referrals. Clients will be connected to a comprehensive network of service providers to help them find successful housing placement & appropriate services.

1E-2. CoC Program and ESG Program funded projects are required to participate in the coordinated entry process, but there are many other organizations and individuals who may participate but are not required to do so. From the following list, for each type of organization or individual, select all of the applicable checkboxes that indicate how that organization or individual participates in the CoC's coordinated entry process. If there are other organizations or persons who participate but are not on this list, enter the information in the blank text box, click "Save" at the bottom of

the screen, and then select the applicable checkboxes.

Organization/Person Categories	Participate s in Ongoing Planning and Evaluation	Makes Referrals to the Coordinate d Entry Process	Receives Referrals from the Coordinate d Entry Process	Operates Access Point for Coordinate d Entry Process	Participate s in Case Conferenci ng	Does not Participate	Does not Exist
Local Government Staff/Officials	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
CDBG/HOME/Entitlement Jurisdiction	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Law Enforcement	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Local Jail(s)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Hospital(s)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
EMT/Crisis Response Team(s)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Mental Health Service Organizations	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Substance Abuse Service Organizations	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Affordable Housing Developer(s)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Public Housing Authorities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Non-CoC Funded Youth Homeless Organizations	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
School Administrators/Homeless Liaisons	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Non-CoC Funded Victim Service Organizations	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Street Outreach Team(s)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Homeless or Formerly Homeless Persons	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
HOPWA HIV/AIDS serving organization	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
State Government	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Veterans Services	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

1F. Continuum of Care (CoC) Project Review, Ranking, and Selection

Instructions

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

1F-1. For all renewal project applications submitted in the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition complete the chart below regarding the CoC's review of the Annual Performance Report(s).

How many renewal project applications were submitted in the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition?	10
How many of the renewal project applications are first time renewals for which the first operating year has not expired yet?	
How many renewal project application APRs were reviewed by the CoC as part of the local CoC competition project review, ranking, and selection process for the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition?	
Percentage of APRs submitted by renewing projects within the CoC that were reviewed by the CoC in the 2016 CoC Competition?	0.00%

1F-2 - In the sections below, check the appropriate box(es) for each selection to indicate how project applications were reviewed and ranked for the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition. Written documentation of the CoC's publicly announced Rating and Review procedure must be attached.

Performance outcomes from APR reports/HMIS:	
% permanent housing exit destinations	<input type="checkbox"/>
% increases in income	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Monitoring criteria:	
Utilization rates	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Drawdown rates	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Frequency or Amount of Funds Recaptured by HUD	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Need for specialized population services:	

Youth	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Victims of Domestic Violence	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Families with Children	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Persons Experiencing Chronic Homelessness	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Veterans	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
None:	<input type="checkbox"/>

1F-2a. Describe how the CoC considered the severity of needs and vulnerabilities of participants that are, or will be, served by the project applications when determining project application priority. (limit 1000 characters)

***PCoC continues to prioritize the most severe needs of homeless individuals within Portland. PCoC revised the ranking/scoring policies and procedures as well as the tools based on special subpopulations with specific vulnerabilities including CH, families/young parents, DV, disability, MI/SA, HIV/AIDS. All PSH projects have dedicated or prioritized CH beds. Project applications receive additional points during the scoring process for serving these vulnerable populations. PCoC adopted HUD's notice CPD-14-012 for prioritizing CH. Additionally, projects received points if they indicated in their applications that they adopted a housing first approach.

1F-3. Describe how the CoC made the local competition review, ranking, and selection criteria publicly available, and identify the public medium(s) used and the date(s) of posting. Evidence of the public posting must be attached. (limit 750 characters)

***The PCOC Review, Ranking and Scoring Procedures and Scoring tools were voted upon in the PCoC meeting on 10/14. The PCoC made Procedures publicly available on the City of Portland website on 10/15/15 and posted the Scoring tool on 10/16/15 so that this information could be available to all stakeholders.

1F-4. On what date did the CoC and Collaborative Applicant publicly post all parts of the FY 2016 CoC Consolidated Application that included the final project application ranking? (Written documentation of the 07/15/2016

public posting, with the date of the posting clearly visible, must be attached. In addition, evidence of communicating decisions to the CoC's full membership must be attached).

1F-5. Did the CoC use the reallocation process in the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition to reduce or reject projects for the creation of new projects? (If the CoC utilized the reallocation process, evidence of the public posting of the reallocation process must be attached.) No

1F-5a. If the CoC rejected project application(s), on what date did the CoC and Collaborative Applicant notify those project applicants that their project application was rejected? (If project applications were rejected, a copy of the written notification to each project applicant must be attached.) 08/30/2016

1F-6. In the Annual Renewal Demand (ARD) is the CoC's FY 2016 CoC's FY 2016 Priority Listing equal to or less than the ARD on the final HUD-approved FY2016 GIW?

1G. Continuum of Care (CoC) Addressing Project Capacity

Instructions

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

1G-1. Describe how the CoC monitors the performance of CoC Program recipients. (limit 1000 characters)

*** The Portland CoC has developed forms that are used to evaluate project performance based on the HEARTH Act performance measures, priorities of HUD's CoC program as well as documented CoC and State of Maine strategies to end and prevent homelessness. The Monitoring and Evaluation Form asks authorized representatives for the project to provide a program summary and description on how the project helps participants achieve self-sufficiency/stability. The form asks agencies/projects about their policies and record keeping, per 24 CFR 578.103(a)(3); 24 CFR 576.500(b); 24 CFR 578.37(a)(1)(i); 24 CFR 578.75(b); 24 CFR 578.103(a)(8); 24 CFR 578.23(c)(4)(iv). Data from submitted APRs and HMIS data quality/completeness reports are reviewed to gauge project effectiveness. A committee consisting of funded and non-funded CoC members reviews all materials to complete a Monitoring Threshold Tool. The tool provides threshold scores for each project, helping determine recommendation for renewal.

1G-2. Did the Collaborative Applicant include accurately completed and appropriately signed form HUD-2991(s) for all project applications submitted on the CoC Priority Listing?

2A. Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) Implementation

Intructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

2A-1. Does the CoC have a Governance Charter that outlines the roles and responsibilities of the CoC and the HMIS Lead, either within the Charter itself or by reference to a separate document like an MOU/MOA? In all cases, the CoC's Governance Charter must be attached to receive credit, In addition, if applicable, any separate document, like an MOU/MOA, must also be attached to receive credit.

2A-1a. Include the page number where the roles and responsibilities of the CoC and HMIS Lead can be found in the attached document referenced in 2A-1. In addition, in the textbox indicate if the page number applies to the CoC's attached governance charter or attached MOU/MOA.

2A-2. Does the CoC have a HMIS Policies and Procedures Manual? If yes, in order to receive credit the HMIS Policies and Procedures Manual must be attached to the CoC Application.

2A-3. Are there agreements in place that outline roles and responsibilities between the HMIS Lead and the Contributing HMIS Organization (CHOs)?

2A-4. What is the name of the HMIS software

used by the CoC (e.g., ABC Software)?

2A-5. What is the name of the HMIS software vendor (e.g., ABC Systems)?

2B. Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) Funding Sources

Instructions

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

2B-1. Select the HMIS implementation coverage area:

* 2B-2. In the charts below, enter the amount of funding from each funding source that contributes to the total HMIS budget for the CoC.

2B-2.1 Funding Type: Federal - HUD

Funding Source	Funding
CoC	
ESG	
CDBG	
HOME	
HOPWA	
Federal - HUD - Total Amount	\$0

2B-2.2 Funding Type: Other Federal

Funding Source	Funding
Department of Education	
Department of Health and Human Services	
Department of Labor	
Department of Agriculture	
Department of Veterans Affairs	
Other Federal	
Other Federal - Total Amount	\$0

2B-2.3 Funding Type: State and Local

Funding Source	Funding

City	
County	
State	
State and Local - Total Amount	\$0

2B-2.4 Funding Type: Private

Funding Source	Funding
Individual	
Organization	
Private - Total Amount	\$0

2B-2.5 Funding Type: Other

Funding Source	Funding
Participation Fees	
Other - Total Amount	

2B-2.6 Total Budget for Operating Year	\$0
--	-----

2C. Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) Bed Coverage

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

2C-1. Enter the date the CoC submitted the 2016 HIC data in HDX, (mm/dd/yyyy):

2C-2. Per the 2016 Housing Inventory Count (HIC) Indicate the number of beds in the 2016 HIC and in HMIS for each project type within the CoC. If a particular project type does not exist in the CoC then enter "0" for all cells in that project type.

Project Type	Total Beds in 2016 HIC	Total Beds in HIC Dedicated for DV	Total Beds in HMIS	HMIS Bed Coverage Rate
Emergency Shelter (ESG) beds				0.00%
Safe Haven (SH) beds				0.00%
Transitional Housing (TH) beds				0.00%
Rapid Re-Housing (RRH) beds				0.00%
Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) beds				0.00%
Other Permanent Housing (OPH) beds				0.00%

2C-2a. If the bed coverage rate for any project type is below 85 percent, describe how the CoC plans to increase the bed coverage rate for each of these project types in the next 12 months. (limit 1000 characters)

2C-3. If any of the project types listed in question 2C-2 above have a coverage rate below 85 percent, and some or all of these rates can be attributed to beds covered by one of the following program types, please indicate that here by selecting all that apply from the list below.

VA Grant per diem (VA GPD):	<input type="checkbox"/>
VASH:	<input type="checkbox"/>
Faith-Based projects/Rescue mission:	<input type="checkbox"/>

Youth focused projects:	<input type="checkbox"/>
Voucher beds (non-permanent housing):	<input type="checkbox"/>
HOPWA projects:	<input type="checkbox"/>
Not Applicable:	<input type="checkbox"/>

2C-4. How often does the CoC review or assess its HMIS bed coverage?

2D. Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) Data Quality

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

2D-1. Indicate the percentage of unduplicated client records with null or missing values and the percentage of "Client Doesn't Know" or "Client Refused" within the last 10 days of January 2016.

Universal Data Element	Percentage Null or Missing	Percentage Client Doesn't Know or Refused
3.1 Name	0%	0%
3.2 Social Security Number	0%	0%
3.3 Date of birth	0%	0%
3.4 Race	0%	0%
3.5 Ethnicity	0%	0%
3.6 Gender	0%	0%
3.7 Veteran status	0%	0%
3.8 Disabling condition	0%	0%
3.9 Residence prior to project entry	0%	0%
3.10 Project Entry Date	0%	0%
3.11 Project Exit Date	0%	0%
3.12 Destination	0%	0%
3.15 Relationship to Head of Household	0%	0%
3.16 Client Location	0%	0%
3.17 Length of time on street, in an emergency shelter, or safe haven	0%	0%

2D-2. Identify which of the following reports your HMIS generates. Select all that apply:

CoC Annual Performance Report (APR):	<input type="checkbox"/>
ESG Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER):	<input type="checkbox"/>
Annual Homeless Assessment Report (AHAR) table shells:	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>

None	<input type="checkbox"/>
------	--------------------------

At least one box must be checked.

2D-3. If you submitted the 2016 AHAR, how many AHAR tables (i.e., ES-ind, ES-family, etc) were accepted and used in the last AHAR?

2D-4. How frequently does the CoC review data quality in the HMIS?

2D-5. Select from the dropdown to indicate if standardized HMIS data quality reports are generated to review data quality at the CoC level, project level, or both.

2D-6. From the following list of federal partner programs, select the ones that are currently using the CoC's HMIS.

VA Supportive Services for Veteran Families (SSVF):	<input type="checkbox"/>
VA Grant and Per Diem (GPD):	<input type="checkbox"/>
Runaway and Homeless Youth (RHY):	<input type="checkbox"/>
Projects for Assistance in Transition from Homelessness (PATH):	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>
None:	<input type="checkbox"/>

At least one box must be checked.

2D-6a. If any of the Federal partner programs listed in 2D-6 are not currently entering data in the CoC's HMIS and intend to begin entering data in the next 12 months, indicate the Federal partner program and the anticipated start date. (limit 750 characters)

2E. Continuum of Care (CoC) Sheltered Point-in-Time (PIT) Count

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

The data collected during the PIT count is vital for both CoC's and HUD. HUD needs accurate data to understand the context and nature of homelessness throughout the country, and to provide Congress and the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) with information regarding services provided, gaps in service, and performance. Accurate, high quality data is vital to inform Congress' funding decisions.

2E-1. Did the CoC approve the final sheltered PIT count methodology for the 2016 sheltered PIT count?

**2E-2. Indicate the date of the most recent sheltered PIT count:
(mm/dd/yyyy)**

2E-2a. If the CoC conducted the sheltered PIT count outside of the last 10 days of January 2016, was an exception granted by HUD?

**2E-3. Enter the date the CoC submitted the sheltered PIT count data in HDX:
(mm/dd/yyyy)**

2F. Continuum of Care (CoC) Sheltered Point-in-Time (PIT) Count: Methods

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

2F-1. Indicate the method(s) used to count sheltered homeless persons during the 2016 PIT count:

Complete Census Count:	<input type="checkbox"/>
Random sample and extrapolation:	<input type="checkbox"/>
Non-random sample and extrapolation:	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>

At least one box must be checked.

2F-2. Indicate the methods used to gather and calculate subpopulation data for sheltered homeless persons:

HMIS:	<input type="checkbox"/>
HMIS plus extrapolation:	<input type="checkbox"/>
Interview of sheltered persons:	<input type="checkbox"/>
Sample of PIT interviews plus extrapolation:	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>

At least one box must be checked.

2F-3. Provide a brief description of your CoC's sheltered PIT count methodology and describe why your CoC selected its sheltered PIT count methodology. (limit 1000 characters)

2F-4. Describe any change in methodology from your sheltered PIT count in 2015 to 2016, including any change in sampling or extrapolation method, if applicable. Do not include information on changes to the implementation of your sheltered PIT count methodology (e.g., enhanced training or change in partners participating in the PIT count). (limit 1000 characters)

2F-5. Did your CoC change its provider coverage in the 2016 sheltered count?

2F-5a. If "Yes" in 2F-5, then describe the change in provider coverage in the 2016 sheltered count. (limit 750 characters)

2G. Continuum of Care (CoC) Sheltered Point-in-Time (PIT) Count: Data Quality

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

2G-1. Indicate the methods used to ensure the quality of the data collected during the sheltered PIT count:

Training:	<input type="checkbox"/>
Follow-up:	<input type="checkbox"/>
HMIS:	<input type="checkbox"/>
Non-HMIS de-duplication techniques:	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>

2G-2. Describe any change to the way your CoC implemented its sheltered PIT count from 2015 to 2016 that would change data quality, including changes to training volunteers and inclusion of any partner agencies in the sheltered PIT count planning and implementation, if applicable. Do not include information on changes to actual sheltered PIT count methodology (e.g. change in sampling or extrapolation methods). (limit 1000 characters)

2H. Continuum of Care (CoC) Unsheltered Point-in-Time (PIT) Count

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

HUD requires CoCs to conduct an unsheltered PIT count every 2 years (biennially) during the last 10 days in January; however, HUD also strongly encourages CoCs to conduct the unsheltered PIT count annually at the same time that they conduct annual sheltered PIT counts. HUD required CoCs to conduct the last biennial PIT count during the last 10 days in January 2015.

2H-1. Did the CoC approve the final unsheltered PIT count methodology for the most recent unsheltered PIT count?

2H-2. Indicate the date of the most recent unsheltered PIT count (mm/dd/yyyy):

2H-2a. If the CoC conducted the unsheltered PIT count outside of the last 10 days of January 2016, or most recent count, was an exception granted by HUD?

2H-3. Enter the date the CoC submitted the unsheltered PIT count data in HDX (mm/dd/yyyy):

2I. Continuum of Care (CoC) Unsheltered Point-in-Time (PIT) Count: Methods

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

2I-1. Indicate the methods used to count unsheltered homeless persons during the 2016 or most recent PIT count:

Night of the count - complete census:	<input type="checkbox"/>
Night of the count - known locations:	<input type="checkbox"/>
Night of the count - random sample:	<input type="checkbox"/>
Service-based count:	<input type="checkbox"/>
HMIS:	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>

At least one box must be checked.

2I-2. Provide a brief description of your CoC's unsheltered PIT count methodology and describe why your CoC selected this unsheltered PIT count methodology. (limit 1000 characters)

2I-3. Describe any change in methodology from your unsheltered PIT count in 2015 (or 2014 if an unsheltered count was not conducted in 2015) to 2016, including any change in sampling or extrapolation method, if applicable. Do not include information on changes to implementation of your sheltered PIT count methodology (e.g., enhanced training or change in partners participating in the count). (limit 1000 characters)

2I-4. Has the CoC taken extra measures to identify unaccompanied homeless youth in the PIT count?

**2I-4a. If the response in 2I-4 was "no" describe any extra measures that are being taken to identify youth and what the CoC is doing for homeless youth.
(limit 1000 characters)**

2J. Continuum of Care (CoC) Unsheltered Point-in-Time (PIT) Count: Data Quality

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

2J-1. Indicate the steps taken by the CoC to ensure the quality of the data collected for the 2016 unsheltered PIT count:

Training:	<input type="checkbox"/>
"Blitz" count:	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unique identifier:	<input type="checkbox"/>
Survey questions:	<input type="checkbox"/>
Enumerator observation:	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>
None:	<input type="checkbox"/>

At least one box must be checked.

2J-2. Describe any change to the way the CoC implemented the unsheltered PIT count from 2015 (or 2014 if an unsheltered count was not conducted in 2015) to 2016 that would affect data quality. This includes changes to training volunteers and inclusion of any partner agencies in the unsheltered PIT count planning and implementation, if applicable. Do not include information on changes in actual methodology (e.g. change in sampling or extrapolation method). (limit 1000 characters)

3A. Continuum of Care (CoC) System Performance

Instructions

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

3A-1. Performance Measure: Number of Persons Homeless - Point-in-Time Count.

*** 3A-1a. Change in PIT Counts of Sheltered and Unsheltered Homeless Persons**

Using the table below, indicate the number of persons who were homeless at a Point-in-Time (PIT) based on the 2015 and 2016 PIT counts as recorded in the Homelessness Data Exchange (HDX).

	2015 PIT (for unsheltered count, most recent year conducted)	2016 PIT	Difference
Universe: Total PIT Count of sheltered and unsheltered persons	740	759	19
Emergency Shelter Total	472	432	-40
Safe Haven Total	13	12	-1
Transitional Housing Total	255	262	7
Total Sheltered Count	740	706	-34
Total Unsheltered Count	0	53	53

3A-1b. Number of Sheltered Persons Homeless - HMIS.

Using HMIS data, enter the number of homeless persons who were served in a sheltered environment between October 1, 2014 and September 30, 2015 for each category provided.

	Between October 1, 2014 and September 30, 2015
Universe: Unduplicated Total sheltered homeless persons	3,662
Emergency Shelter Total	3,325
Safe Haven Total	17
Transitional Housing Total	420

3A-2. Performance Measure: First Time Homeless.

Describe the CoC's efforts to reduce the number of individuals and families who become homeless for the first time. Specifically, describe what the CoC is doing to identify risk factors of becoming homeless.

(limit 1000 characters)

The Portland CoC (PCOC) monitors the number of individuals who are first-time homeless to identify risk factors. Portland’s General Assistance program (GA), Homeless Voices for Justice & shelters track reasons for & history of homelessness. Shelter staff help divert those seeking shelter to other housing options including family & community resources. GA provides rental assistance that helps prevent eviction. The City of Portland’s CDBG-funded TBRA program pays rental & utility arrears to keep people housed. SSVF does outreach & prevention for homeless & at-risk homeless veterans & their families. Pine Tree Legal attends Portland’s Eviction Court to help prevent evictions. Preble Street is studying what brings unaccompanied youth to Portland from elsewhere. MaineHousing’s ESHAP program uses ESG, HCV, & other funds to help the homeless create & implement a housing stability plan. The City of Portland’s family & adult shelters & Preble Street administer the ESHAP program in Portland.

3A-3. Performance Measure: Length of Time Homeless.

Describe the CoC’s efforts to reduce the length of time individuals and families remain homeless. Specifically, describe how your CoC has reduced the average length of time homeless, including how the CoC identifies and houses individuals and families with the longest lengths of time homeless.

(limit 1000 characters)

The Portland Career Center provides access to computers for job searches, skills assessments & job training. Goodwill Industries has a robust training program that coordinates with both adult & youth homeless programs to connect people to available jobs, with a special focus on the hospitality & health sectors. The Southern Maine Community College & employers offer training & work placement for homeless individuals. The Portland Chamber of Commerce houses a Coordinator for the GPWI which strengthens employment & training for disadvantaged populations including the homeless. GPWI targets health care, a growth sector. CoC homeless providers work with mainstream employment organizations around program design, resource development, & job placement. Portland Adult Ed (PAE) provides on-site workshops and 1:1 assistance to Florence House (which is PSH) residents to help them prepare for job hunts & employment.

*** 3A-4. Performance Measure: Successful Permanent Housing Placement or Retention.**

In the next two questions, CoCs must indicate the success of its projects in placing persons from its projects into permanent housing.

**3A-4a. Exits to Permanent Housing Destinations:
Fill in the chart to indicate the extent to which projects exit program**

FY2016 CoC Application	Page 34	08/17/2016
------------------------	---------	------------

participants into permanent housing (subsidized or non-subsidized) or the retention of program participants in CoC Program-funded permanent supportive housing.

	Between October 1, 2014 and September 30, 2015
Universe: Persons in SSO, TH and PH-RRH who exited	
Of the persons in the Universe above, how many of those exited to permanent destinations?	
% Successful Exits	0.00%

3A-4b. Exit To or Retention Of Permanent Housing:
 In the chart below, CoCs must indicate the number of persons who exited from any CoC funded permanent housing project, except rapid re-housing projects, to permanent housing destinations or retained their permanent housing between October 1, 2014 and September 31, 2015.

	Between October 1, 2014 and September 30, 2015
Universe: Persons in all PH projects except PH-RRH	591
Of the persons in the Universe above, indicate how many of those remained in applicable PH projects and how many of those exited to permanent destinations?	551
% Successful Retentions/Exits	93.23%

3A-5. Performance Measure: Returns to Homelessness: Describe the CoCs efforts to reduce the rate of individuals and families who return to homelessness. Specifically, describe strategies your CoC has implemented to identify and minimize returns to homelessness, and demonstrate the use of HMIS or a comparable database to monitor and record returns to homelessness. (limit 1000 characters)

Shelters work to rapidly rehouse individuals/families who return to homelessness using: 1) TBRA- provides funds for security deposits & unpaid utilities which prevent people from securing housing; 2) ESHAP Navigators- follow individuals/families for up to 1 year from shelter exit to help them stay housed; 3) Community mental health providers- work with LTS participants to help them retain housing. These strategies strengthen housing stability once rehoused, thus significantly reducing returns to homelessness. PCOC shelters use HMIS to identify & track individuals/families exiting PH-RRH, TH, & PSH & re-entering homelessness. All shelters receive incentive funding for reducing returns to homelessness to 25% or less. Portland has a 0% vacancy rate due to gentrification, short-term rentals (e.g., Airbnb) which contributes to no-cause evictions. PCOC members work to intervene in these and are taking a lead role with the City Council to advocate for policies preventing this.

3A-6. Performance Measure: Job and Income Growth. Performance Measure: Job and Income Growth. Describe the CoC's specific strategies to assist CoC Program-funded projects to increase

**program participants' cash income from employment and non-employment non-cash sources.
(limit 1000 characters)**

Portland CoC shelter & outreach/diversion workers assess homeless persons' income, employability, & disability & refer to programs/services such as GA, SSI, TANF, Food Stamps, etc. Preble Street's employment case managers help homeless persons find work. Goodwill Industries offers skills training, OJT, job placement, & support services to help youth & adults with barriers find employment. Portland Adult Ed (PAE) provides on-site workshops and 1:1 assistance to Florence House (which is PSH) residents to help them prepare for job hunts & employment. Maine CASH helps eligible individuals with EITC. SOAR-trained staff are at all shelters & to youth to help with SSI/SSDI applications. The City of Portland GA dedicates 3 FTE staff to its Helping Individuals Regain Employment (HIRE) program to help non-citizen GA recipients find jobs. The Greater Portland Workforce Initiative (GPWI) helps homeless, New Mainers, & ex-offenders access jobs & training in health care, a growth sector.

**3A-6a. Describe how the CoC is working with mainstream employment organizations to aid homeless individuals and families in increasing their income.
(limit 1000 characters)**

The Portland Career Center provides access to computers for job searches, skills assessments & job training. Goodwill Industries has a robust training program that coordinates with both adult & youth homeless programs to connect people to available jobs, with a special focus on the hospitality & health sectors. The Southern Maine Community College & employers offer training & work placement for homeless individuals. The Portland Chamber of Commerce houses a Coordinator for the GPWI which strengthens employment & training for disadvantaged populations including the homeless. GPWI targets health care, a growth sector. CoC homeless providers work with mainstream employment organizations around program design, resource development, & job placement. Portland Adult Ed (PAE) provides on-site workshops and 1:1 assistance to Florence House (which is PSH) residents to help them prepare for job hunts & employment.

**3A-7. What was the the criteria and decision-making process the CoC used to identify and exclude specific geographic areas from the CoC's unsheltered PIT count?
(limit 1000 characters)**

N/A (no specific areas were excluded).

3A-7a. Did the CoC completely exclude geographic areas from the the most recent PIT count (i.e., no one counted there and, for communities using samples the area was excluded from both the sample and extrapolation) where the CoC determined that there were no unsheltered homeless people, No

including areas that are uninhabitable (e.g. disasters)?

**3A-7b. Did the CoC completely exclude geographic areas from the the most recent PIT count (i.e., no one counted there and, for communities using samples the area was excluded from both the sample and extrapolation) where the CoC determined that there were no unsheltered homeless people, including areas that are uninhabitable (e.g. deserts, wilderness, etc.)?
(limit 1000 characters)**

No.

**3A-8. Enter the date the CoC submitted the system performance measure data into HDX. The System Performance Report generated by HDX must be attached.
(mm/dd/yyyy)** 08/15/2016

**3A-8a. If the CoC was unable to submit their System Performance Measures data to HUD via the HDX by the deadline, explain why and describe what specific steps they are taking to ensure they meet the next HDX submission deadline for System Performance Measures data.
(limit 1500 characters)**

N/A

3B. Continuum of Care (CoC) Performance and Strategic Planning Objectives

Objective 1: Ending Chronic Homelessness

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

To end chronic homelessness by 2017, HUD encourages three areas of focus through the implementation of Notice CPD 14-012: Prioritizing Persons Experiencing Chronic Homelessness in Permanent Supportive Housing and Recordkeeping Requirements for Documenting Chronic Homeless Status.

1. Targeting persons with the highest needs and longest histories of homelessness for existing and new permanent supportive housing;
2. Prioritizing chronically homeless individuals, youth and families who have the longest histories of homelessness; and
3. The highest needs for new and turnover units.

3B-1.1. Compare the total number of chronically homeless persons, which includes persons in families, in the CoC as reported by the CoC for the 2016 PIT count compared to 2015 (or 2014 if an unsheltered count was not conducted in 2015).

	2015 (for unsheltered count, most recent year conducted)	2016	Difference
Universe: Total PIT Count of sheltered and unsheltered chronically homeless persons	111	127	16
Sheltered Count of chronically homeless persons	111	104	-7
Unsheltered Count of chronically homeless persons	0	23	23

3B-1.1a. Using the "Differences" calculated in question 3B-1.1 above, explain the reason(s) for any increase, or no change in the overall TOTAL number of chronically homeless persons in the CoC, as well as the change in the unsheltered count, as reported in the PIT count in 2016 compared to 2015. (limit 1000 characters)

The LTS Initiative helped decrease the shelter count by moving LTS into PSH. Reasons for increased unsheltered include: 1) Up to 2015 the PCOC did the PIT in 1 night but in 2016 the PCOC changed to a “service-based count;” for 3 days following the 1 night PIT workers reached out to the unsheltered & asked where they stayed the night of the count. Thus, more unsheltered were counted. 2) Cold, stormy weather the night of the 2015 PIT drove homeless into shelters; in contrast, in January 2016 it was very mild so more people were outside. 3) IV opiate users refuse shelters when they’re actively using, and some homeless are afraid to go to shelters because they fear violent & unpredictable addicts. Maine is seeing an epidemic of opiate use.

3B-1.2. Compare the total number of PSH beds (CoC Program and non-CoC Program funded) that were identified as dedicated for use by chronically homeless persons on the 2016 Housing Inventory Count, as compared to those identified on the 2015 Housing Inventory Count.

	2015	2016	Difference
Number of CoC Program and non-CoC Program funded PSH beds dedicated for use by chronically homeless persons identified on the HIC.	107	64	-43

3B-1.2a. Explain the reason(s) for any increase, or no change in the total number of PSH beds (CoC program funded or non-CoC Program funded) that were identified as dedicated for use by chronically homeless persons on the 2016 Housing Inventory Count compared to those identified on the 2015 Housing Inventory Count. (limit 1000 characters)

There was an error on the 2015 HIC which was corrected in 2016. The correct 2015 number was ??, which means there was a ?? bed increase in 2016. The increase in PSH beds from 2015 to 2016 was due to ???PCOC will work with MCOC in the coming year to provide training to COC housing providers to ensure we have an accurate count of PSH beds.

3B-1.3. Did the CoC adopt the Orders of Priority into their standards for all CoC Program funded PSH as described in Notice CPD-14-012: Prioritizing Persons Experiencing Chronic Homelessness in Permanent Supportive Housing and Recordkeeping Requirements for Documenting Chronic Homeless Status? Yes

3B-1.3a. If “Yes” was selected for question 3B-1.3, attach a copy of the CoC’s written standards or other evidence that clearly

shows the incorporation of the Orders of Priority in Notice CPD 14-012 and indicate the page(s) for all documents where the Orders of Priority are found.

3B-1.4. Is the CoC on track to meet the goal of ending chronic homelessness by 2017? Yes

This question will not be scored.

3B-1.4a. If the response to question 3B-1.4 was “Yes” what are the strategies that have been implemented by the CoC to maximize current resources to meet this goal? If “No” was selected, what resources or technical assistance will be implemented by the CoC to reach to goal of ending chronically homelessness by 2017? (limit 1000 characters)

PCOC has adopted the orders of priority as described in HUD Notice CPD- 14-012 for all CoC-funded PSH. New applications for PSH bonus projects are dedicated to CH. A new site-based Housing First in planning will be prioritized for CH. Portland Housing Authority has established set-asides/preferences for CH & LTS for 40 of its Section 8 HCVs. MaineHousing’s SHP (rental production program) helps create additional PSH w/in Portland. PCOC is also working to create supportive housing units within larger affordable housing projects, for example those funded with the LIHTC. The LTS Initiative targets housing & services to individuals who have stayed outside or in shelters 180+ days within a 12 month period; within the time period all of the LTS served met HUD’s criteria for CH. LTS also helps prevent people from becoming CH.

3B. Continuum of Care (CoC) Strategic Planning Objectives

3B. Continuum of Care (CoC) Strategic Planning Objectives

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

HUD will evaluate CoC's based on the extent to which they are making progress to achieve the goal of ending homelessness among households with children by 2020.

3B-2.1. What factors will the CoC use to prioritize households with children during the FY2016 Operating year? (Check all that apply).

Vulnerability to victimization:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Number of previous homeless episodes:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Unsheltered homelessness:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Criminal History:	<input type="checkbox"/>
Bad credit or rental history (including not having been a leaseholder):	<input type="checkbox"/>
Head of household has mental/physical disabilities:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>
N/A:	<input type="checkbox"/>

3B-2.2. Describe the CoC's strategies including concrete steps to rapidly rehouse every household with children within 30 days of those families becoming homeless. (limit 1000 characters)

PCOC encourages landlords to notify shelters of openings & prioritizes families who have the longest shelter stays & highest vulnerability. ESHAP Navigators meet with clients upon entry into the shelters to create housing stability plans. They complete a VI-SPDAT to determine the best course of action for RRH with specific focus on those most vulnerable. ESHAP also has an allocation of HCV vouchers & STEP (TBRA) coupons available for families prioritized on the VI-SPDAT. SSVF & VASH vouchers help veterans' families find & retain housing. The LOS for the City of Portland Family Shelter in 2015 was 77 days due to the tight rental market & an influx of asylum seekers, who use GA for rental assistance. Portland's rental vacancy rate is functionally zero & high rents often exceed GA's rent limits. Homeless families are placed in towns outside Portland where rents are lower & vacancies higher, & services provided to help them retain the housing.

3B-2.3. Compare the number of RRH units available to serve families from the 2015 and 2016 HIC.

	2015	2016	Difference
RRH units available to serve families in the HIC:	22	33	11

3B-2.4. How does the CoC ensure that emergency shelters, transitional housing, and permanent housing (PSH and RRH) providers within the CoC do not deny admission to or separate any family members from other members of their family based on age, sex, gender or disability when entering shelter or housing? (check all strategies that apply)

CoC policies and procedures prohibit involuntary family separation:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
There is a method for clients to alert CoC when involuntarily separated:	<input type="checkbox"/>
CoC holds trainings on preventing involuntary family separation, at least once a year:	<input type="checkbox"/>
Shelter policies and procedures prohibit involuntary separation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Maine Homeless Rule governing shelter funding prohibits involuntary separation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
None:	<input type="checkbox"/>

3B-2.5. Compare the total number of homeless households with children in the CoC as reported by the CoC for the 2016 PIT count compared to 2015 (or 2014 if an unsheltered count was not conducted in 2015).

PIT Count of Homelessness Among Households With Children

	2015 (for unsheltered count,		
FY2016 CoC Application		Page 42	08/17/2016

	most recent year conducted)	2016	Difference
Universe: Total PIT Count of sheltered and unsheltered homeless households with children:	68	69	1
Sheltered Count of homeless households with children:	68	69	1
Unsheltered Count of homeless households with children:	0	0	0

3B-2.5a. Explain the reason(s) for any increase, or no change in the total number of homeless households with children in the CoC as reported in the 2016 PIT count compared to the 2015 PIT count. (limit 1000 characters)

Despite the change to the “service-based count” that included greater outreach there were no unsheltered families once again in 2016. There was virtually no change (one more) in sheltered families. A vacancy rate approaching zero & escalating rental costs make housing placement more difficult, extending LOS in family shelters. This is particularly true for larger families typical among the asylum seekers who are still arriving in Portland in large numbers; many of these turn to the family shelter for help. Until authorized to work they must rely on GA for food; GA can also pay rent but high market rents often exceed GA’s limits. The higher shelter count is also due in part to the tight housing market, which has led to many “no-cause evictions” when properties are sold, existing tenants evicted, and then the units are renovated & rented to higher income households.

3B-2.6. From the list below select the strategies to the CoC uses to address the unique needs of unaccompanied homeless youth including youth under age 18, and youth ages 18-24, including the following.

Human trafficking and other forms of exploitation?	Yes
LGBTQ youth homelessness?	Yes
Exits from foster care into homelessness?	Yes
Family reunification and community engagement?	Yes
Positive Youth Development, Trauma Informed Care, and the use of Risk and Protective Factors in assessing youth housing and service needs?	Yes
Unaccompanied minors/youth below the age of 18?	Yes

3B-2.6a. Select all strategies that the CoC uses to address homeless youth trafficking and other forms of exploitation.

Diversion from institutions and decriminalization of youth actions that stem from being trafficked:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Increase housing and service options for youth fleeing or attempting to flee trafficking:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Specific sampling methodology for enumerating and characterizing local youth trafficking:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Cross systems strategies to quickly identify and prevent occurrences of youth trafficking:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Community awareness training concerning youth trafficking:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
New org developing survivor leaders, advocacy, planning, supporting	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
N/A:	<input type="checkbox"/>

3B-2.7. What factors will the CoC use to prioritize unaccompanied youth including youth under age 18, and youth ages 18-24 for housing and services during the FY 2016 operating year? (Check all that apply)

Vulnerability to victimization:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Length of time homeless:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Unsheltered homelessness:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Lack of access to family and community support networks:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>
N/A:	<input type="checkbox"/>

3B-2.8. Using HMIS, compare all unaccompanied youth including youth under age 18, and youth ages 18-24 served in any HMIS contributing program who were in an unsheltered situation prior to entry in FY 2014 (October 1, 2013-September 30, 2014) and FY 2015 (October 1, 2014 - September 30, 2015).

	FY 2014 (October 1, 2013 - September 30, 2014)	FY 2015 (October 1, 2014 - September 30, 2015)	Difference
Total number of unaccompanied youth served in HMIS contributing programs who were in an unsheltered situation prior to entry:			0

3B-2.8a. If the number of unaccompanied youth and children, and youth-headed households with children served in any HMIS contributing

program who were in an unsheltered situation prior to entry in FY 2015 is lower than FY 2014 explain why. (limit 1000 characters)

????????????????

3B-2.9. Compare funding for youth homelessness in the CoC's geographic area in CY 2016 and CY 2017.

	Calendar Year 2016	Calendar Year 2017	Difference
Overall funding for youth homelessness dedicated projects (CoC Program and non-CoC Program funded):	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
CoC Program funding for youth homelessness dedicated projects:			\$0.00
Non-CoC funding for youth homelessness dedicated projects (e.g. RHY or other Federal, State and Local funding):			\$0.00

3B-2.10. To what extent have youth services and educational representatives, and CoC representatives participated in each other's meetings between July 1, 2015 and June 30, 2016?

Cross-Participation in Meetings	# Times
CoC meetings or planning events attended by LEA or SEA representatives:	2
LEA or SEA meetings or planning events (e.g. those about child welfare, juvenile justice or out of school time) attended by CoC representatives:	7
CoC meetings or planning events attended by youth housing and service providers (e.g. RHY providers):	25

3B-2.10a. Based on the responses in 3B-2.10, describe in detail how the CoC collaborates with the McKinney-Vento local educational authorities and school districts. (limit 1000 characters)

Portland Dept. of Education coordinates closely with CoC family/youth programs. The Street Academy (SA) Portland Public School's alternative ed program is housed at Preble Street Teen Center & provides tutoring, credits & HISET (GED) preparation. Teen Center & SA staff meet daily during the school year to address student needs. SA coordinates directly with local/regional schools/liaisons for unaccompanied youth who wish to stay enrolled in the schools they came from. Shelters consult with Liaisons & from Portland & other school districts to ensure that children in shelters can stay enrolled in their local schools, & to arrange any testing/educational/homeless services needed to help keep them in school. School liaisons & social workers refer to CoC service providers if children or youth enrolled in school appear homeless or at risk. TOA alerts the Family Shelter re: available Head Start slots.

**3B-2.11. How does the CoC make sure that homeless individuals and families who become homeless are informed of their eligibility for and receive access to educational services? Include the policies and procedures that homeless service providers (CoC and ESG Programs) are required to follow.
(limit 2000 characters)**

PCoC-Education Referral Process Policy

- All homeless children entering a Portland emergency shelter will be connected directly to the Homeless Liaison for enrollment in school. If a child enters an emergency shelter & the child is already enrolled in a Portland school, the Homeless Liaison must still be notified.
- When a refugee homeless family enters a Portland emergency shelter both the Homeless Liaison & the Multi-Lingual Center must be contacted. All new refugee children must be tested prior to enrolling in school.
- All unaccompanied homeless youth who enter an emergency shelter in Portland or access Teen Center services should be connected directly to Portland Public School's Street Academy. The Street Academy will work with student & assess educational needs. Street Academy will navigate enrollment process with student, connecting with district's homeless liaison & transportation director as needed.
- All homeless shelters in the PCoC are required to enter into HMIS whether the children were connected to the Homeless Liaison. All programs serving families & unaccompanied youth have participated in CoC planning & efforts to ensure that participants eligible for CoC or ESG programs are identified.
- The Opportunity Alliance & Preble Street coordinate with liaisons & school counselors & social workers so that youth at risk or in the streets are referred by schools for appropriate services.
- The State Office of Family & Children's Services has liaisons & workers at the Teen Center twice a week to ensure connections with homeless providers & school programs.
- Meetings between school & youth/family providers regarding challenging situations involving education & homelessness occur daily, & may involve other community planning/resources as well.
- Coordination between jail, juvenile facility, & local community police occurs regularly; jail/prison/youth center re-entry planning meetings include CoC providers.

**3B-2.12. Does the CoC or any HUD-funded projects within the CoC have any written agreements with a program that services infants, toddlers, and youth children, such as Head Start; Child Care and Development Fund; Healthy Start; Maternal, Infant, Early Childhood Home Visiting programs; Public Pre-K; and others?
(limit 1000 characters)**

A Portland COC member (TOA) operates COC-funded housing (22 Park Ave.) & also administers Head Start, Early Head Start, & Maine Families, a home visiting program to expectant parents & parents of babies & toddlers. TOA's staff collaborate closely but because they work for the same organization they don't have written agreements. They alert the Family Shelter when Head Start slots are available. Head Start also works collaboratively with the Portland and Westbrook Public Schools' Public Pre-K program. The Districts provide referrals and transportation. Through its Maine Families program TOA also provides home visiting to expectant parents & parents of babies & toddlers at the Family

shelter, the DV shelter, & to 22 Park Ave., a COC-funded TH program for young families.

3B. Continuum of Care (CoC) Performance and Strategic Planning Objectives

Objective 3: Ending Veterans Homelessness

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

Opening Doors outlines the goal of ending Veteran homelessness by the end of 2016. The following questions focus on the various strategies that will aid communities in meeting this goal.

3B-3.1. Compare the total number of homeless Veterans in the CoC as reported by the CoC for the 2016 PIT count compared to 2015 (or 2014 if an unsheltered count was not conducted in 2015).

	2015 (for unsheltered count, most recent year conducted)	2016	Difference
Universe: Total PIT count of sheltered and unsheltered homeless veterans:	43	42	-1
Sheltered count of homeless veterans:	43	35	-8
Unsheltered count of homeless veterans:	0	7	7

3B-3.1a. Explain the reason(s) for any increase, or no change in the total number of homeless veterans in the CoC as reported in the 2016 PIT count compared to the 2015 PIT count. (limit 1000 characters)

The increase in unsheltered veterans is primarily due the PCOC changing to a "service-based count" for the 2016 PIT which did a more thorough job counting unsheltered homeless. Cold, stormy weather the night of the 2015 PIT drove homeless into shelters; in contrast, in January 2016 it was very mild so more people camped outside. Finally, IV opiate users prefer camping to shelters when they're actively using, & some homeless are afraid to go to shelters because they fear violent & unpredictable addicts. Maine is seeing an epidemic of opiate use. However, the number of homeless veterans in the PCOC has decreased dramatically in the last year due to better outreach efforts funded through SSVF & PATH, & better collaboration & coordination among service providers.

3B-3.2. Describe how the CoC identifies, assesses, and refers homeless veterans who are eligible for Veterean's Affairs services and housing to appropriate reources such as HUD-VASH and SSVF. (limit 1000 characters)

SSVF & PATH conduct regular outreach to identify sheltered & unsheltered homeless veterans. HMIS entry forms ask about veteran status. All veterans are referred to SSVF for assessment; the VISPDAT is used to identify the most vulnerable. CH Veterans are also targeted for assistance through the HMIS CH/LTS Stayer Reports. All veterans are screened for eligibility for VA benefits & services including: SSVF, HUD VASH, medical services, pension, service-connected disability, & assisting with DD-214. A joint PCOC/MCOC Homeless Veterans Action Committee works with a by-name list of veterans to plan & provide services. Preble Street employs 1 FTE staff dedicated to assisting homeless/formerly homeless veterans with enrollment in the ACA exchange. PCOC participates in the bi-annual VA homeless summit for information sharing & identifying additional resources. A VA staff attends PCOC meetings & PCOC provides input regarding resource allocation.

3B-3.3. Compare the total number of homeless Veterans in the CoC and the total number of unsheltered homeless Veterans in the CoC, as reported by the CoC for the 2016 PIT Count compared to the 2010 PIT Count (or 2009 if an unsheltered count was not conducted in 2010).

	2010 (or 2009 if an unsheltered count was not conducted in 2010)	2016	% Difference
Total PIT Count of sheltered and unsheltered homeless veterans:	30	42	40.00%
Unsheltered Count of homeless veterans:	0	7	0.00%

3B-3.4. Indicate from the dropdown whether you are on target to end Veteran homelessness by the end of 2016. Yes

This question will not be scored.

3B-3.4a. If "Yes", what are the strategies being used to maximize your current resources to meet this goal? If "No" what resources or technical assistance would help you reach the goal of ending Veteran homelessness by the end of 2016? (limit 1000 characters)

PCOC providers have identified all veterans experiencing homelessness. There is a master list that combines data from shelters, outreach teams, & SSVF grantees; a joint PCOC/MCOC Homeless Veterans Action Committee works with this by-name list to plan & coordinate services. The local VAMC is fully

engaged in our efforts. PCOC has the capacity to help veterans move quickly into PH/PSH using VASH, SSVF, & other resources. SSVF partners with Legal Aid providers to get help for veterans with issues that threaten tenancies. Resources still needed to achieve include flexible funding that can be used to help vets with serious barriers to stability, including sex offenders, criminal histories who may not qualify for other programs or need extra services or assistance.

4A. Accessing Mainstream Benefits

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

4A-1. Does the CoC systematically provide information to provider staff about mainstream benefits, including up-to-date resources on eligibility and program changes that can affect homeless clients?

4A-2. Based on the CoC's FY 2016 new and renewal project applications, what percentage of projects have demonstrated they are assisting project participants to obtain mainstream benefits? This includes all of the following within each project: transportation assistance, use of a single application, annual follow-ups with participants, and SOAR-trained staff technical assistance to obtain SSI/SSDI?

FY 2016 Assistance with Mainstream Benefits

Total number of project applications in the FY 2016 competition (new and renewal):	
Total number of renewal and new project applications that demonstrate assistance to project participants to obtain mainstream benefits (i.e. In a Renewal Project Application, "Yes" is selected for Questions 2a, 2b and 2c on Screen 4A. In a New Project Application, "Yes" is selected for Questions 5a, 5b, 5c, 6, and 6a on Screen 4A).	
Percentage of renewal and new project applications in the FY 2016 competition that have demonstrated assistance to project participants to obtain mainstream benefits:	0%

4A-3. List the organizations (public, private, non-profit and other) that you collaborate with to facilitate health insurance enrollment, (e.g., Medicaid, Medicare, Affordable Care Act options) for program participants. For each organization you partner with, detail the specific outcomes resulting from the partnership in the establishment of benefits. (limit 1000 characters)

4A-4. What are the primary ways the CoC ensures that program participants with health insurance are able to effectively utilize the healthcare benefits available to them?

Educational materials:	
------------------------	--

	<input type="checkbox"/>
In-Person Trainings:	<input type="checkbox"/>
Transportation to medical appointments:	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>
Not Applicable or None:	<input type="checkbox"/>

At least one box must be checked.

4B. Additional Policies

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

4B-1. Based on the CoCs FY 2016 new and renewal project applications, what percentage of Permanent Housing (PSH and RRH), Transitional Housing (TH), and SSO (non-Coordinated Entry) projects in the CoC are low barrier?

FY 2016 Low Barrier Designation

Total number of PH (PSH and RRH), TH and non-Coordinated Entry SSO project applications in the FY 2016 competition (new and renewal):	
Total number of PH (PSH and RRH), TH and non-Coordinated Entry SSO renewal and new project applications that selected "low barrier" in the FY 2016 competition:	
Percentage of PH (PSH and RRH), TH and non-Coordinated Entry SSO renewal and new project applications in the FY 2016 competition that will be designated as "low barrier":	0%

4B-2. What percentage of CoC Program-funded Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH), Rapid Re-Housing (RRH), SSO (non-Coordinated Entry) and Transitional Housing (TH) FY 2016 Projects have adopted a Housing First approach, meaning that the project quickly houses clients without preconditions or service participation requirements?

FY 2016 Projects Housing First Designation

Total number of PSH, RRH, non-Coordinated Entry SSO, and TH project applications in the FY 2016 competition (new and renewal):	
Total number of PSH, RRH, non-Coordinated Entry SSO, and TH renewal and new project applications that selected Housing First in the FY 2016 competition:	
Percentage of PSH, RRH, non-Coordinated Entry SSO, and TH renewal and new project applications in the FY 2016 competition that will be designated as Housing First:	0%

4B-3. What has the CoC done to ensure awareness of and access to housing and supportive services within the CoC's geographic area to persons that could benefit from CoC-funded programs but are not currently participating in a CoC funded program? In particular, how does the CoC reach out to for persons that are least likely to request housing or services in the absence of special outreach?

Direct outreach and marketing:	X
--------------------------------	---

Use of phone or internet-based services like 211:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Marketing in languages commonly spoken in the community:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Making physical and virtual locations accessible to those with disabilities:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>
Not applicable:	<input type="checkbox"/>

4B-4. Compare the number of RRH units available to serve populations from the 2015 and 2016 HIC.

	2015	2016	Difference
RRH units available to serve all populations in the HIC:			0

4B-5. Are any new proposed project applications requesting \$200,000 or more in funding for housing rehabilitation or new construction?

**4B-6. If "Yes" in Questions 4B-5, then describe the activities that the project(s) will undertake to ensure that employment, training and other economic opportunities are directed to low or very low income persons to comply with section 3 of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968 (12 U.S.C. 1701u) (Section 3) and HUD's implementing rules at 24 CFR part 135?
(limit 1000 characters)**

4B-7. Is the CoC requesting to designate one or more of its SSO or TH projects to serve families with children and youth defined as homeless under other Federal statutes? No

4B-7a. If "Yes", to question 4B-7, describe how the use of grant funds to serve such persons is of equal or greater priority than serving persons defined as homeless in accordance with 24 CFR 578.89. Description must

include whether or not this is listed as a priority in the Consolidated Plan(s) and its CoC strategic plan goals. CoCs must attach the list of projects that would be serving this population (up to 10 percent of CoC total award) and the applicable portions of the Consolidated Plan. (limit 2500 characters)

4B-8. Has the project been affected by a major disaster, as declared by the President Obama under Title IV of the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act, as amended (Public Law 93-288) in the 12 months prior to the opening of the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition? No

4B-8a. If "Yes" in Question 4B-8, describe the impact of the natural disaster on specific projects in the CoC and how this affected the CoC's ability to address homelessness and provide the necessary reporting to HUD. (limit 1500 characters)

4B-9. Did the CoC or any of its CoC program recipients/subrecipients request technical assistance from HUD since the submission of the FY 2015 application? This response does not affect the scoring of this application.

4B-9a. If "Yes" to Question 4B-9, check the box(es) for which technical assistance was requested.

This response does not affect the scoring of this application.

CoC Governance:	<input type="checkbox"/>
CoC Systems Performance Measurement:	<input type="checkbox"/>
Coordinated Entry:	<input type="checkbox"/>
Data reporting and data analysis:	<input type="checkbox"/>
HMIS:	<input type="checkbox"/>
Homeless subpopulations targeted by Opening Doors: veterans, chronic, children and families, and unaccompanied youth:	<input type="checkbox"/>
Maximizing the use of mainstream resources:	<input type="checkbox"/>

Retooling transitional housing:	<input type="checkbox"/>
Rapid re-housing:	<input type="checkbox"/>
Under-performing program recipient, subrecipient or project:	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>
Not applicable:	<input type="checkbox"/>

4B-9b. Indicate the type(s) of Technical Assistance that was provided, using the categories listed in 4B-9a, provide the month and year the CoC Program recipient or sub-recipient received the assistance and the value of the Technical Assistance to the CoC/recipient/sub recipient involved given the local conditions at the time, with 5 being the highest value and a 1 indicating no value.

Type of Technical Assistance Received	Date Received	Rate the Value of the Technical Assistance

4C. Attachments

Instructions:

Multiple files may be attached as a single .zip file. For instructions on how to use .zip files, a reference document is available on the e-snaps training site:
<https://www.hudexchange.info/resource/3118/creating-a-zip-file-and-capturing-a-screenshot-resource>

Document Type	Required?	Document Description	Date Attached
01. 2016 CoC Consolidated Application: Evidence of the CoC's communication to rejected participants	Yes		
02. 2016 CoC Consolidated Application: Public Posting Evidence	Yes		
03. CoC Rating and Review Procedure (e.g. RFP)	Yes		
04. CoC's Rating and Review Procedure: Public Posting Evidence	Yes		
05. CoCs Process for Reallocating	Yes		
06. CoC's Governance Charter	Yes		
07. HMIS Policy and Procedures Manual	Yes		
08. Applicable Sections of Con Plan to Serving Persons Defined as Homeless Under Other Fed Statutes	No		
09. PHA Administration Plan (Applicable Section(s) Only)	Yes		
10. CoC-HMIS MOU (if referenced in the CoC's Governance Charter)	No		
11. CoC Written Standards for Order of Priority	No		
12. Project List to Serve Persons Defined as Homeless under Other Federal Statutes (if applicable)	No		
13. HDX-system Performance Measures	Yes		
14. Other	No		
15. Other	No		

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Submission Summary

Ensure that the Project Priority List is complete prior to submitting.

Page	Last Updated
1A. Identification	08/11/2016
1B. CoC Engagement	08/11/2016
1C. Coordination	Please Complete
FY2016 CoC Application	Page 61
	08/17/2016

1D. CoC Discharge Planning	Please Complete
1E. Coordinated Assessment	08/17/2016
1F. Project Review	Please Complete
1G. Addressing Project Capacity	Please Complete
2A. HMIS Implementation	Please Complete
2B. HMIS Funding Sources	Please Complete
2C. HMIS Beds	Please Complete
2D. HMIS Data Quality	Please Complete
2E. Sheltered PIT	Please Complete
2F. Sheltered Data - Methods	Please Complete
2G. Sheltered Data - Quality	Please Complete
2H. Unsheltered PIT	Please Complete
2I. Unsheltered Data - Methods	Please Complete
2J. Unsheltered Data - Quality	Please Complete
3A. System Performance	Please Complete
3B. Objective 1	Please Complete
3B. Objective 2	Please Complete
3B. Objective 3	08/16/2016
4A. Benefits	Please Complete
4B. Additional Policies	Please Complete
4C. Attachments	Please Complete
Submission Summary	No Input Required