



**Special Attention of:**

All Secretary's Representatives  
All Regional Directors for CPD  
All CPD Division Directors  
Continuums of Care (CoC)  
Recipients of the Continuum of Care (CoC)  
Program

**Notice: CPD-14-012**

**Issued:** July 28, 2014  
**Expires:** This Notice is effective until it is amended, superseded, or rescinded

**Cross Reference:** 24 CFR Parts 578 and 42 U.S.C. 11381, *et seq.*

**Subject: Notice on Prioritizing Persons Experiencing Chronic Homelessness and Other Vulnerable Homeless Persons in Permanent Supportive Housing and Recordkeeping Requirements for Documenting Chronic Homeless Status**

**Table of Contents**

- I. Purpose..... 2**
  - A. Background ..... 2
  - B. Goal of this Notice ..... 2
  - C. Applicability ..... 3
  - D. Key Terms..... 3
- II. Dedication and Prioritization of Permanent Supportive Housing Strategies to Increase Number of PSH Beds Available for Chronically Homeless Persons ..... 5**
  - A. Increase the number of CoC Program-funded PSH beds that are dedicated to persons experiencing chronic homelessness. .... 5
  - B. Prioritize non-dedicated PSH beds for use by persons experiencing chronic homelessness..... 5
- III. Order of Priority in CoC Program-funded Permanent Supportive Housing ..... 6**
  - A. Order of Priority in CoC Program-funded Permanent Supportive Housing Beds Dedicated to Persons Experiencing Chronic Homelessness and Permanent Supportive Housing Prioritized for Occupancy by Persons Experiencing Chronic Homelessness ... 6
  - B. Order of Priority in Permanent Supportive Housing Beds Not Dedicated or Prioritized for Persons Experiencing Chronic Homelessness..... 8
- IV. Using a Coordinated Assessment and a Standardized Assessment Tool or Process to Determine Eligibility and Establish a Prioritized Waiting List..... 10**
  - A. Coordinated Assessment Requirement ..... 10
  - B. Written Standards for Creation of a Single Prioritized Waiting List for PSH..... 10
  - C. Standardized Assessment Tool Requirement..... 11
  - D. Nondiscrimination Requirements ..... 11
- V. Recordkeeping Requirements..... 11**
  - A. CoC Records ..... 11
  - B. Recipient Recordkeeping Requirements..... 12
  - C. Recordkeeping Recommendations for CoCs that have Adopted the Order of Priority in this Notice. .... 15
- VI. Questions Regarding this Notice..... 15**

## **I. Purpose**

This Notice provides guidance to Continuums of Care (CoC) and recipients of Continuum of Care (CoC) Program (24 CFR part 578) funding for permanent supportive housing (PSH) regarding the order in which eligible households should be served in **all** CoC Program-funded PSH. This Notice also establishes recordkeeping requirements for all recipients of CoC Program-funded PSH that includes beds that are required to serve persons experiencing chronic homelessness as defined in 24 CFR 578.3, in accordance with 24 CFR 578.103.

### **A. Background**

In June 2010, the Obama Administration released *Opening Doors: Federal Strategic Plan to Prevent and End Homelessness (Opening Doors)*, in which HUD and its federal partners set goals to end Veteran and chronic homelessness by 2015, and end family and youth homelessness by 2020. Ending chronic homelessness is the first goal of *Opening Doors* and is a top priority for HUD. Although progress has been made there is still a long way to go. In 2013, there were still 109,132 people identified as chronically homeless in the United States. In order to meet the first goal of *Opening Doors*—ending chronic homelessness—it is critical that CoCs ensure that limited resources awarded through the CoC Program Competition are being used in the most effective manner and that households that are most in need of assistance are being prioritized.

Since 2005, HUD has encouraged CoCs to create new PSH dedicated for use by persons experiencing chronic homelessness (herein referred to as dedicated PSH). As a result, the number of dedicated PSH beds for persons experiencing chronic homelessness has increased from 24,760 in 2007 to 51,142 in 2013. This increase has contributed to a 25 percent decrease in the number of chronically homeless persons reported in the Point-in-Time Count between 2007 and 2013. Despite the overall increase in the number of dedicated PSH beds, this only represents 30 percent of all CoC Program-funded PSH beds.

To ensure that all PSH beds funded through the CoC Program are used as strategically and effectively as possible, PSH needs to be targeted to serve persons with the highest needs and greatest barriers towards obtaining and maintaining housing on their own—persons experiencing chronic homelessness. HUD’s experience has shown that many communities and recipients of CoC Program-funded PSH continue to serve persons on a “first-come, first-serve” basis and/or based on tenant selection processes that screen-in those who are most likely to succeed. These approaches to tenant selection have not been effective in reducing chronic homelessness, despite the increase in the number of PSH beds nationally.

### **B. Goal of this Notice**

The overarching goal of this Notice is to ensure that the homeless individuals and families with the most severe service needs within a community are prioritized in PSH, which will also increase progress towards the Obama Administration’s goal of ending chronic homelessness. In order to guide CoCs in ensuring that all CoC Program-funded PSH beds are used most effectively, this Notice establishes an order of priority which CoCs are strongly encouraged to adopt and incorporate into the CoC’s written standards and

coordinated assessment system. With adoption by CoCs and incorporation into the CoC's written standards, **all** recipients of CoC Program-funded PSH must then follow this order of priority, consistent with their current grant agreement, which will result in this intervention being targeted to the persons who need it the most. Such adoption and incorporation will ensure that persons are housed appropriately and in the order provided in this Notice.

HUD seeks to achieve three goals through this Notice:

1. Establish an order of priority for dedicated and prioritized PSH beds which CoCs are encouraged to adopt in order to ensure that those persons with the most severe service needs are given first priority.
2. Inform the selection process for PSH assistance not dedicated or prioritized for chronic homelessness to prioritize persons who do not yet meet the definition of chronic homelessness but are most at risk of becoming chronically homeless.
3. Provide uniform recordkeeping requirements for all recipients of CoC Program-funded PSH for documenting chronically homeless status of program participants when required to do so as well as provide guidance on recommended documentation standards that CoCs may require of its recipients of CoC Program-funded PSH if the priorities included in the Notice are adopted by the CoC.

### **C. Applicability**

The guidance in this Notice is provided to all CoCs and all recipients and subrecipients—the latter two groups referred to collectively as recipients of CoC Program-funded PSH. CoCs are encouraged to incorporate the order of priority described in this Notice into their written standards, in accordance with the CoC Program interim rule at 24 CFR 578.7(a)(9) and 24 CFR 578.93, for CoC Program-funded PSH. Upon incorporation of the order of priority into written standards CoCs may then require recipients of CoC Program-funded PSH to follow the order of priority in accordance with the CoC's revised written standards and this Notice and in a manner consistent with their current grant agreement.

### **D. Key Terms**

1. **Housing First.** Housing First is an approach in which housing is offered to people experiencing homelessness without preconditions (such as sobriety, mental health treatment, or a minimum income threshold) or service participation requirements and in which rapid placement and stabilization in permanent housing are primary goals. PSH projects that use a Housing First approach promote the acceptance of applicants regardless of their sobriety or use of substances, completion of treatment, or participation in services. HUD encourages all recipients of CoC Program-funded PSH to follow a Housing First approach to the maximum extent practicable. Any recipient that indicated that they would follow a Housing First approach in the FY 2013 CoC Project Application must do so for both the FY 2013 and FY 2014 operating year(s), as the CoC score for the FY 2013–FY 2014 CoC Program Competition was affected by the extent in which project applications indicated that they would follow this approach and this requirement will be incorporated into the recipient's FY 2013 and FY 2014 grant agreement.

HUD recognizes that this approach may not be applicable for all program designs, particularly for those projects formerly awarded under the SHP or SPC programs which were permitted to target persons with specific disabilities (e.g., “sober housing”).

**2. Chronically Homeless.** The definition of “chronically homeless” currently in effect for the CoC Program is that which is defined in the CoC Program interim rule at 24 CFR 578.3, which states that a chronically homeless person is:

- (a) An individual who:
  - i. Is homeless and lives in a place not meant for human habitation, a safe haven, or in an emergency shelter; and
  - ii. Has been homeless and living or residing in a place not meant for human habitation, a safe haven, or in an emergency shelter continuously for at least one year or on at least four separate occasions in the last 3 years; and
  - iii. Can be diagnosed with one or more of the following conditions: substance use disorder, serious mental illness, developmental disability (as defined in section 102 of the Developmental Disabilities Assistance Bill of Rights Act of 2000 (42 U.S.C. 15002)), post-traumatic stress disorder, cognitive impairments resulting from brain injury, or chronic physical illness or disability;
- (b) An individual who has been residing in an institutional care facility, including a jail, substance abuse or mental health treatment facility, hospital, or other similar facility, for fewer than 90 days and met all of the criteria in paragraph (1) of this definition [as described in Section I.D.2.(a) of this Notice], before entering that facility; or
- (c) A family with an adult head of household (or if there is no adult in the family, a minor head of household) who meets all of the criteria in paragraph (1) of this definition [as described in Section I.D.2.(a) of this Notice, including a family whose composition has fluctuated while the head of household has been homeless.

**3. Severity of Service Needs.** This Notice refers to persons who have been identified as having the most severe service needs.

- (a) For the purposes of this Notice, this means an individual for whom at least one of the following is true:
  - i. History of high utilization of crisis services, which include but are not limited to, emergency rooms, jails, and psychiatric facilities; or
  - ii. Significant health or behavioral health challenges or functional impairments which require a significant level of support in order to maintain permanent housing.

Severe service needs as defined in paragraphs i. and ii. above should be identified and verified through data-driven methods such as an administrative data match or through the use of a standardized assessment tool that can identify the severity of needs such as the Vulnerability Index (VI), the Service Prioritization Decision Assistance Tool (SPDAT), or the Frequent Users Service Enhancement (FUSE). The determination must not be based on a specific diagnosis or disability type, but only on the severity of needs of the individual.

- (b) In states where there is an alternate criteria used by state Medicaid departments to identify high-need, high cost beneficiaries, CoCs and recipients of CoC Program-funded PSH may use similar criteria to determine if a household has severe service needs instead of the criteria defined paragraphs i. and ii. above. However, such determination must not be based on a specific diagnosis or disability type.

## **II. Dedication and Prioritization of Permanent Supportive Housing Strategies to Increase Number of PSH Beds Available for Chronically Homeless Persons**

There are two significant ways in which CoCs can increase progress towards ending chronic homelessness in their communities using only their existing CoC Program-funded PSH:

### **A. Increase the number of CoC Program-funded PSH beds that are dedicated to persons experiencing chronic homelessness.**

Dedicated PSH beds are required through the project's grant agreement to only be used to house persons experiencing chronic homelessness unless there are no persons within the CoC that meet that criteria. If this occurs, the recipient may then follow the order of priority in this Notice if it is adopted by the CoC. The bed will continue to be a dedicated bed, however, so when that bed becomes vacant again it must be used to house a chronically homeless person unless there are still no persons who meet that criterion within the CoC's geographic area. These PSH beds are reported as "CH Beds" on a CoC's Housing Inventory Count (HIC). A CoC may increase the number of CoC Program-funded PSH beds that are dedicated to persons experiencing chronic homelessness when it's recipients of non-dedicated CoC Program-funded PSH request a grant amendment to dedicate one or more of its beds for this purpose. A recipient of CoC Program-funded PSH is prohibited from changing the designation of the bed from dedicated to non-dedicated without a grant agreement amendment. Similarly, if a recipient of non-dedicated PSH intends to dedicate one or more of its beds to the chronically homeless it may do so through a grant agreement amendment.

### **B. Prioritize non-dedicated PSH beds for use by persons experiencing chronic homelessness.**

Prioritization means implementing an admissions preference for chronically homeless persons for CoC Program-funded PSH beds. In the FY 2013-FY 2014 CoC Program Competition, CoCs were scored on the extent to which they were willing to commit to prioritizing chronically homeless persons in a percentage of their non-dedicated PSH beds with the highest points going to CoCs that committed to prioritize the chronically homeless

in 85 percent or more of their non-dedicated CoC Program-funded PSH. Further, project applicants for CoC Program-funded PSH had to indicate the number of non-dedicated beds that would be prioritized for use by persons experiencing chronic homelessness. These projects are now required to prioritize chronically homeless persons in their non-dedicated CoC Program-funded PSH beds for FY 2013 and FY 2014, as the project application is incorporated into the grant agreement. PSH beds that were included in the calculation for the CoCs commitment in the CoC Application cannot revise their FY 2014 application to reduce the number of prioritized beds; however, recipients of PSH that are currently not dedicated to the chronically homeless may choose to prioritize additional beds in the FY 2014 CoC Project Application. All recipients of CoC Program-funded PSH are encouraged to prioritize the chronically homeless as beds become vacant to the maximum extent practicable. CoCs will be expected to meet or exceed the goals established in the FY 2013/FY 2014 CoC Application and should continue to prioritize persons experiencing chronic homelessness in their CoC Program-funded PSH until there are no persons within the CoC's geographic area who meet that criteria. Further, to the extent that CoCs incorporate this order of priority into the CoCs written standards, recipients of CoC Program-funded PSH will also be required to follow this criterion included in those standards.

### **III. Order of Priority in CoC Program-funded Permanent Supportive Housing**

#### **A. Order of Priority in CoC Program-funded Permanent Supportive Housing Beds Dedicated to Persons Experiencing Chronic Homelessness and Permanent Supportive Housing Prioritized for Occupancy by Persons Experiencing Chronic Homelessness**

1. As of the date of this Notice, CoCs are encouraged to revise their written standards to include the following order of priority for CoC Program-funded PSH that is either dedicated or prioritized for use by the chronically homeless. If adopted into the CoCs written standards, recipients of CoC Program-funded PSH would then be required to follow the order of priority when selecting participants for housing in accordance with the CoC's revised written standards in accordance with this Notice and in a manner consistent with their current grant agreement. For CoC Program-funded PSH that is dedicated or prioritized for persons experiencing chronic homelessness, the following order of priority is strongly encouraged:

- (a) **First Priority—Chronically Homeless Individuals and Families with the Longest History of Homelessness and with the Most Severe Service Needs.**

A chronically homeless individual or head of household as defined in 24 CFR 578.3 for whom both of the following are true:

- i. The chronically homeless individual or head of household of a family has been homeless and living in a place not meant for human habitation, a safe haven, or in an emergency shelter for at least 12 months either continuously or on at least four separate occasions in the last 3 years, where the cumulative total length of the four occasions equals at least 12 months; and

- ii. The CoC or CoC Program recipient has identified the chronically homeless individual or head of household, who meets all of the criteria in paragraph (1) of the definition for chronically homeless, of the family as having severe service needs (see Section I.D.3. of this Notice for definition of severe service needs).

**(b) Second Priority—Chronically Homeless Individuals and Families with the Longest History of Homelessness.** A chronically homeless individual or head of household, as defined in 24 CFR 578.3, for which both of the following are true:

- i. The chronically homeless individual or head of household of a family has been homeless and living in a place not meant for human habitation, a safe haven, or in an emergency shelter for at least 12 months either continuously or on at least four separate occasions in the last 3 years, where the cumulative total length of the four occasions equals at least 12 months; and,
- ii. The CoC or CoC program recipient has **not** identified the chronically homeless individual or the head of household, who meets all of the criteria in paragraph (1) of the definition for chronically homeless, of the family as having severe service needs.

**(c) Third Priority—Chronically Homeless Individuals and Families with the Most Severe Service Needs.** A chronically homeless individual or head of household as defined in 24 CFR 578.3 for whom both of the following are true:

- i. The chronically homeless individual or head of household of a family has been homeless and living or residing in a place not meant for human habitation, a safe haven, or in an emergency shelter on at least four separate occasions in the last 3 years, where the total length of those separate occasions equals less than one year; and
- ii. The CoC or CoC program recipient has identified the chronically homeless individual or the head of household, who meets all of the criteria in paragraph (1) of the definition for chronically homeless, of the family as having severe service needs.

**(d) Fourth Priority—All Other Chronically Homeless Individuals and Families.** A chronically homeless individual or head of household as defined in 24 CFR 578.3 for whom both of the following are true:

- i. The chronically homeless individual or head of household of a family has been homeless and living in a place not meant for human habitation, a safe haven, or in an emergency shelter for at least 12 months either continuously or on at least four separate occasions in the last 3 years,

where the cumulative total length the four occasions is **less than** 12 months; and

- ii. The CoC or CoC program recipient has **not** identified the chronically homeless individual or the head of household, who meets all of the criteria in paragraph (1) of the definition for chronically homeless, of the family as having severe service needs.
2. Where a CoC or a recipient of CoC Program-funded PSH beds that are dedicated or prioritized is not able to identify chronically homeless individuals and families as defined in 24 CFR 578.3 within the CoC, the order of priority in Section III.B. of this Notice, as adopted by the CoC, may be followed.
  3. Recipients of CoC Program-funded PSH should follow the order of priority above while also considering the goals and any identified target populations served by the project. For example, a CoC Program-funded PSH project that is permitted to target homeless persons with a serious mental illness that has been identified as a project that will prioritize a portion or all of its turnover beds to persons experiencing chronic homelessness should follow the order of priority under Section III.A.1. of this Notice to the extent in which persons with serious mental illness meet the criteria.
  4. Recipients must exercise due diligence when conducting outreach and assessment to ensure that persons are served in the order of priority in this Notice. HUD recognizes that some persons—particularly those living on the streets or in places not meant for human habitation—might require significant engagement and contacts prior to their entering housing and recipients are not required to keep units remain vacant where there are persons who meet a higher priority within the CoC and who have not yet accepted the PSH opportunities offered to them. Street outreach providers should continue to make attempts to engage those persons and the CoC and CoC Program-funded PSH providers are encouraged to follow a Housing First approach to the maximum extent practicable and for those projects that indicated in the FY 2013 CoC Project Application that they would follow a Housing First approach will be required to do so for both the FY 2013 and FY 2014 operating year(s), as the CoC score for the FY 2013 – FY 2014 CoC Program Competition was affected by the extent in which project applications indicated that they would follow this approach and this requirement will be incorporated into the recipient’s FY 2013 and FY 2014 grant agreement. For eligibility in dedicated or prioritized PSH serving chronically homeless households, the individual or head of household must meet all of the applicable criteria to be considered chronically homeless per 24 CFR 578.3.

**B. Order of Priority in Permanent Supportive Housing Beds Not Dedicated or Prioritized for Persons Experiencing Chronic Homelessness**

1. As of the date of this Notice, CoCs are encouraged to revise their written standards to include the following priorities for non-dedicated and non-prioritized PSH beds. If adopted into the CoCs written standards, recipients of CoC Program-funded PSH would then be required to follow the order of priority when selecting participants for housing in accordance with the CoC’s revised written standards included in this Notice and in a



manner consistent with their current grant agreement. CoCs that adopt this order of priority are encouraged to include in the written standards a policy that would allow for recipients of non-dedicated and non-prioritized PSH to offer housing to chronically homeless individuals and families first, but minimally would be required to place otherwise eligible households in an order that prioritizes, in a nondiscriminatory manner, those who would benefit the most from this type of housing, beginning with those most at risk of becoming chronically homeless. For eligibility in non-dedicated and non-prioritized PSH serving non-chronically homeless households, any household member with a disability may qualify the family for PSH.

**(a) First Priority–Homeless Individuals and Families with a Disability with the Most Severe Service Needs.**

An individual or family that is eligible for CoC Program-funded PSH who has been living or residing in a place not meant for human habitation, a safe haven, or in an emergency shelter for any period of time, including persons exiting an institution where they have resided for 90 days or less but were living or residing in a place not meant for human habitation, a safe haven, or in an emergency shelter immediately prior to entering the institution **and** has been identified as having the most severe service needs.

**(b) Second Priority–Homeless Individuals and Families with a Disability with a Long Period of Continuous or Episodic Homelessness.** An individual or family that is eligible for CoC Program-funded PSH who has been living or residing in a place not meant for human habitation, a safe haven, or in an emergency shelter continuously for at least 6 months or on at least three separate occasions in the last 3 years where the cumulative total is at least 6 months. This includes persons exiting an institution where they have resided for 90 days or less but were living or residing in a place not meant for human habitation, a safe haven, or in an emergency shelter immediately prior to entering the institution and had been living or residing in one of those locations for at least 6 months or on at least three separate occasions in the last 3 years where the cumulative total is at least 6 months.

**(c) Third Priority–Homeless Individuals and Families with Disability Coming from Places Not Meant for Human Habitation, Safe Havens, or Emergency Shelters.** An individual or family that is eligible for CoC Program-funded PSH who has been living in a place not meant for human habitation, a safe haven, or an emergency shelter. This includes persons exiting an institution where they have resided for 90 days or less but were living or residing in a place not meant for human habitation, a safe haven, or in an emergency shelter immediately prior to entering the institution.

**(d) Fourth Priority–Homeless Individuals and Families with a Disability Coming from Transitional Housing.** An individual or family that is eligible for CoC Program-funded PSH who is coming from transitional housing, where prior to residing in the transitional housing lived on streets or in an emergency shelter, or

safe haven. This priority also includes homeless individuals and homeless households with children with a qualifying disability who were fleeing or attempting to flee domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking and are living in transitional housing—all are eligible for PSH even if they did not live on the streets, emergency shelters, or safe havens prior to entry in the transitional housing.

2. Recipients of CoC Program-funded PSH should follow the order of priority above, as adopted by the CoC, while also considering the goals and any identified target populations served by the project. For example, in CoC Program-funded PSH where the beds are not dedicated or prioritized and which is permitted to target homeless persons with a serious mental illness should follow the order of priority under Section III.B.1. of this Notice, as adopted by the CoC, to the extent in which persons with serious mental illness meet the criteria.
3. Due diligence should be exercised when conducting outreach and assessment to ensure that persons are served in the order of priority in this Notice, and as adopted by the CoC. HUD recognizes that some persons—particularly those living on the streets or in places not meant for human habitation—might require significant engagement and contacts prior to their entering housing and recipients are not required to keep units vacant where there are persons who meet a higher priority within the CoC and who have not yet accepted the PSH opportunities offered to them. Street outreach providers should continue to make attempts with those persons using a Housing First approach to place as few conditions on a person's housing as possible.

#### **IV. Using a Coordinated Assessment and a Standardized Assessment Tool or Process to Determine Eligibility and Establish a Prioritized Waiting List**

##### **A. Coordinated Assessment Requirement**

Provisions at 24 CFR 578.7(a)(8) requires that each CoC, in consultation with recipients of Emergency Solutions Grants (ESG) program funds within the CoC's geographic area, establish and operate either a centralized or coordinated assessment system that provides an initial, comprehensive assessment of the needs of individuals and families for housing and services. CoCs that adopt the order of priority in Section III of this Notice into the CoC's written standards are strongly encouraged to use their coordinated assessment system in order to ensure that there is a single prioritized waiting list for all CoC Program-funded PSH within the CoC. Under no circumstances shall the order of priority be based upon diagnosis or disability type, but instead on the severity of needs of an individual or family.

##### **B. Written Standards for Creation of a Single Prioritized Waiting List for PSH**

CoCs are also encouraged to include in their policies and procedures governing their coordinated assessment system, a requirement that all CoC Program-funded PSH accept referrals only through a single prioritized waiting list that is created through the CoCs coordinated assessment process. Adopting this into the CoC's policies and procedures for coordinated assessment would further ensure that CoC Program-funded PSH is being used most effectively, which is one of the goals in this Notice. This would also allow for

recipients of CoC Program funds for PSH to maintain their own waiting lists, but all households would be referred to each of those project-level waiting lists based on where they fall on the prioritized list and not on the date in which they first applied for housing assistance.

### **C. Standardized Assessment Tool Requirement**

CoCs must utilize a standardized assessment tool, in accordance with 24 CFR 578.3, or process. Appendix A of this Notice—*Coordinated Assessment Tool and Implementation: Key Considerations*—provides recommended criteria for a quality coordinated assessment process and standardized assessment tool.

### **D. Nondiscrimination Requirements**

CoCs and recipients of CoC Program-funded PSH must continue to comply with the nondiscrimination provisions of Federal civil rights laws, including, but not limited to, the Fair Housing Act, Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act, Title VI of the Civil Rights Act, and Titles II or III of the Americans with Disabilities Act, as applicable.

### **V. Recordkeeping Requirements**

This Notice establishes recordkeeping requirements for all recipients of CoC Program-funded PSH that are required to document a program participant's status as chronically homeless as defined in 24 CFR 578.3 and in accordance with 24 CFR 578.103. Further, HUD expects that where CoCs have adopted the orders of priority in Section III. of this Notice into their written standards, the CoC as well as recipients of CoC Program-funded PSH, will maintain evidence of implementing these priorities.

#### **A. CoC Records**

In addition to the records required in 24 CFR 578.103, it is recommended that the CoC should supplement such records with the following:

- 1. Evidence of written standards that incorporate the priorities in Section III. of this Notice, as adopted by the CoC.** A CoC adopting the priorities in Section III of this Notice, may be evidenced by written CoC, or subcommittee, meeting minutes where written standards were adopted that incorporate the prioritization standards in this Notice, or an updated, approved, governance charter where the written standards have been updated to incorporate the prioritization standards set forth in this Notice.
- 2. Evidence of a standardized assessment tool.** Use of a standardized assessment tool may be evidenced by written policies and procedures referencing a single standardized assessment tool that is used by all CoC Program-funded PSH recipients within the CoC's geographic area.
- 3. Evidence that the written standards were incorporated into the coordinated assessment policies and procedures.** Incorporating standards into the coordinated assessment policies and procedures may be evidenced by updated policies and

procedures—that incorporate the updated written standards for CoC Program-funded PSH developed and approved by the CoC.

## **B. Recipient Recordkeeping Requirements**

In addition to the records required in 24 CFR 578.103, recipients of CoC Program-funded PSH that is required by grant agreement to document chronically homeless status of program participants in some or all of its PSH beds must maintain the following records:

- 1. Written Intake Procedures.** Recipients must maintain and follow written intake procedures to ensure compliance with the definition of chronically homeless per 24 CFR 578.3. These procedures must establish the order of priority for obtaining evidence as: (1) third-party documentation, (2) intake worker observations, and (3) certification from the person seeking assistance. Records contained in an HMIS or comparable database used by victim service or legal service providers are acceptable evidence of third-party documentation and intake worker observations if the HMIS retains an auditable history of all entries, including the person who entered the data, the date of entry, and the change made; and if the HMIS prevents overrides or changes of the dates entries are made.
- 2. Evidence of Chronically Homeless Status.** Recipients of CoC Program-funded PSH whose current grant agreement includes beds that are dedicated or prioritized to the chronically homeless must maintain records evidencing that the individuals or families receiving the assistance in those beds meets the definition for chronically homeless at 24 CFR 578.3. Such records must include evidence of the homeless status of the individual or family (paragraphs (1)(i) and (1)(ii) of the definition), the duration of homelessness (paragraph (1)(ii) of the definition), and the disabling condition (paragraph (1)(iii) of the definition). When applicable, recipients must also keep records demonstrating compliance with paragraphs (2) and (3) of the definition.
  - (a) Evidence of homeless status.** Evidence of an individual or head of household's current living situation may be documented by a written observation by an outreach worker, a written referral by housing or service provider, or a certification by the household seeking assistance that demonstrates that the individual or head of household is currently homeless and living in a place not meant for human habitation, in an emergency shelter, or a safe haven. For paragraph (2) of the definition for chronically homeless at 24 CFR 578.3, for individuals currently residing in an institution, acceptable evidence includes:
    - i.** Discharge paperwork or a written or oral referral from a social worker, case manager, or other appropriate official of the institution, stating the beginning and end dates of the time residing in the institution that demonstrate the person resided there for less than 90 days. All oral statements must be recorded by the intake worker; or
    - ii.** Where the evidence above is not obtainable, a written record of the intake worker's due diligence in attempting to obtain the evidence described in the paragraph i. above and a certification by the individual seeking

assistance that states that they are exiting or have just exited an institution where they resided for less than 90 days; and

- iii. Evidence that the individual was homeless and living in a place not meant for human habitation, a safe haven, or in an emergency shelter, and met the criteria in paragraph (1) of the definition for chronically homeless in 24 CFR 578.3, immediately prior to entry into the institutional care facility.

- (b) **Evidence of the duration of the homelessness.** Recipients documenting chronically homeless status must also maintain the evidence described in paragraph i. or in paragraph ii. below, and the evidence described in paragraph iii. below:

- i. **Evidence that the homeless occasion was continuous, for at least one year.**

Using any combination of allowable documentation described in Section V.B.2.(a) of this Notice, recipients must provide evidence that the homeless occasion was continuous, for a year period, without a break in living or residing in a place not meant for human habitation, a safe haven, or in an emergency shelter. For the purposes of this Notice, a break is considered at least seven or more consecutive nights not residing in a place not meant for human habitation, in shelter, or in a safe haven.

At least 9 months of the 1-year period must be documented by one of the following: (1) HMIS data, (2), a written referral, or (3) a written observation by an outreach worker. In only rare and the most extreme cases, HUD would allow a certification from the individual or head of household seeking assistance in place of third-party documentation for up to the entire period of homelessness. Where third-party evidence could not be obtained, the intake worker must obtain a certification from the individual or head of household seeking assistance, and evidence of the efforts made to obtain third-party evidence as well as documentation of the severity of the situation in which the individual or head of household has been living. An example of where this might occur is where an individual has been homeless and living in a place not meant for human habitation in a secluded area for more than 1 year and has not had any contact with anyone during that entire period.

**Note:** A single encounter with a homeless service provider on a single day within 1 month that is documented through third-party documentation is sufficient to consider an individual or family as homeless for the entire month unless there is any evidence that the household has had a break in homeless status during that month (e.g., evidence in HMIS of a stay in transitional housing).

**ii. Evidence that the household experienced at least four separate homeless occasions over 3 years.**

Using any combination of allowable documentation described in Section V.B.2.(a) of this Notice, the recipient must provide evidence that the head of household experienced at least four, separate, occasions of homelessness in the past 3 years.

Generally, at least three occasions must be documented by either: (1) HMIS data, (2) a written referral, or (3) a written observation. Any other occasion may be documented by a self-certification with no other supporting documentation.

In only rare and the most extreme cases, HUD will permit a certification from the individual or head of household seeking assistance in place of third-party documentation for the three occasions that must be documented by either: (1) HMIS data, (2) a written referral, or (3) a written observation. Where third-party evidence could not be obtained, the intake worker must obtain a certification from the individual or head of household seeking assistance, and must document efforts made to obtain third-party evidence, and document of the severity of the situation in which the individual has been living. An example of where this might occur is where an individual has been homeless and living in a place not meant for human habitation in a secluded area for more than one occasion of homelessness and has not had any contact with anyone during that period.

**iii. Evidence of diagnosis with one or more of the following conditions: substance use disorder, serious mental illness, developmental disability (as defined in Section 102 of the Developmental Disabilities Assistance Bill of Rights Act of 2000 (42 U.S.C. 15002), post-traumatic stress disorder, cognitive impairments resulting from brain injury, or chronic physical illness or disability. Evidence of this criterion must include one of the following:**

- (1) Written verification of the condition from a professional licensed by the state to diagnose and treat the condition;
- (2) Written verification from the Social Security Administration;
- (3) Copies of a disability check (e.g., Social Security Disability Insurance check or Veterans Disability Compensation);
- (4) Intake staff (or referral staff) observation that is confirmed by written verification of the condition from a professional licensed by the state to diagnose and treat the condition that is confirmed no later than 45 days of the application for assistance and accompanied with one of the types of evidence above; or

(5) Other documentation approved by HUD.

### **C. Recordkeeping Recommendations for CoCs that have Adopted the Order of Priority in this Notice.**

Where CoCs have incorporated the order of priority in this Notice into their written standards, recipients of CoC Program-funded PSH may demonstrate that they are following the CoC-established requirement by maintaining the following evidence:

- 1. Evidence of Cumulative Length of Occasions.** For recipients providing assistance to households using the selection priority in Sections III.A.1.(a) and (b) of this Notice, the recipient must maintain the evidence of each occasion of homelessness as required in Section V.B.2.(b)(2) of this Notice, which establishes how evidence of each occasion of homelessness, when determining whether an individual or family is chronically homeless, may be documented. However, to properly document the length of time homeless, it is important to document the start and end date of each occasion of homelessness and these occasions must cumulatively total a period of 12-months. In order to properly document the cumulative period of time homeless, at least 9 months of the 12-month period must be documented through third-party documentation unless it is one of the rare and extreme cases described in Section V.B.2.b.ii. of this Notice. For purposes of this selection priority, a single encounter with a homeless service provider on a single day within one month that is documented through third-party documentation is sufficient to consider an individual or family as homeless for the entire month unless there is any evidence that the household has had a break in homeless status during that month (e.g., evidence in HMIS of a stay in transitional housing).
- 2. Evidence of Severe Service Needs.** Evidence of severe service needs is that by which the recipient is able to determine the severity of needs as defined in Section I.D.3. of this Notice using data-driven methods such as an administrative data match or through the use of a standardized assessment conducted by a qualified professional.
- 3. Evidence that the Recipient is Following the CoC's Written Standards for Prioritizing Assistance.** Recipients must follow the CoC's written standards for prioritizing assistance, as adopted by the CoC. In accordance with the CoC's adoption of written standards for prioritizing assistance, recipients must in turn document that the CoC's revised written standards have been incorporated into the recipient's intake procedures and that the recipient is following its intake procedures when accepting new program participants into the project.

### **VI. Questions Regarding this Notice**

Questions regarding this notice should be submitted to HUD's Ask A Question at: [www.onecpd.info/get-assistance/my-question](http://www.onecpd.info/get-assistance/my-question).

## Appendix A

### Coordinated Assessment Process and Standardized Assessment Tool: Key Considerations

A coordinated assessment process is intended to increase and streamline access to housing and services for households experiencing homelessness, matches appropriate levels of housing and services based on their needs, and prioritizes persons with severe service needs for the most intensive interventions. HUD will be issuing guidance regarding the minimum requirements for establishing and operating a coordinated assessment system, as required by 24 CFR 578.7(a)(8), separately. Meanwhile, this Appendix is intended to help inform CoC efforts to implement an effective coordinated assessment *process* and qualities of an effective standardized assessment tool. As stated in Section III of this Notice, the use of both a coordinated assessment process and assessment tool(s) are critical to effectively implement the order of priority described in Section III.A. and III.B., if adopted by the CoC and incorporated into the CoCs written standards.

### Recommendations for Effective Implementation of a Coordinated Assessment Process

The coordinated assessment process must incorporate and defer to any funding requirements established under the CoC Program interim rule, ESG Program interim rule, or a Notice of Funding Availability under which a project is awarded. In addition, the following are recommended as the minimum criteria for the effective implementation of a coordinated assessment process.

1. **Standardized**—The assessment process should rely upon a standardized method and criteria to determine the appropriate type of intervention for individuals or families. This standardized process could encompass the CoC-wide use of a standardized assessment tool, as well as data driven methods.
2. **Improves data management**—Individual tracking, resource allocation and planning, system monitoring, and reporting to the community and to funders is improved by use of a common, coordinated assessment tool.
3. **Non-directive**—The recommendations of the tool can be overridden by the judgment of qualified professionals, especially in where there are extenuating circumstances that are not assessed by the tool are relevant to choosing appropriate interventions. Discretion must be exercised in a nondiscriminatory manner consistent with fair housing and civil rights laws and should be subject to appropriate review and documentation (see Section V. of this Notice for the recordkeeping requirements), to ensure it is applied judiciously.
4. **Mainstream resources**—Effective coordinated assessment facilitates meaningful coordination between the homeless response system and the intake processes for mainstream systems. Connections should be made to public housing authorities, multifamily housing, health and mental health care, the workforce development system, and with other mainstream income and benefits as appropriate and applicable.
5. **Align Interventions**—The various types of interventions that are available are aligned and used strategically.



6. **Leverage local attributes and capacity**—The physical and political geography, including the capacity of partners in a community, and the opportunities unique to the community’s context, should inform local coordinated assessment implementation.
7. **Assess program capacity**—Assess the variety and capacity of programs in the community to identify and fill critical gaps in housing and service resources and to ensure that there is a range of options needed for a coordinated assessment system to work well.
8. **Outreach**—The coordinated assessment system should ensure that connections and ongoing engagement occurs with those not accessing services and housing on their own. Often, these are the highest need and most at-risk people in communities.
9. **Privacy protections**—Protections should be in place to ensure proper use of the information with consent from the client. Assessment should also be conducted in a private location.
10. **Fair Housing and Civil Rights**—Protections should be in place to ensure compliance with all civil rights requirements, including, but not limited to, the Fair Housing Act, Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973. The assessment tool should not seek disability-related information that is unnecessary for determining the need for housing-related services. The coordinated assessment process should ensure that program participants are informed of rights and remedies available under applicable federal, state, and local fair housing and civil rights laws, in accordance with the requirement at 24 CFR 578.93(c)(3).
11. **Training**—Initial and ongoing training on the use of the assessment tool should be provided to those parties that will be administering the assessment.
12. **Accessible and well-advertised**—The assessment must be well advertised and easily accessed by people seeking services or housing. This can happen in a variety of ways: access to services can be centralized, a one-stop shop approach. Access can be coordinated, leveraging outreach capacity and linking or integrating with mainstream systems. The assessment must be conducted in a manner that is accessible for individuals with disabilities, ensures meaningful program access for persons with Limited English Proficiency, and is affirmatively marketed in order to reach eligible persons who are least likely to seek assistance in the absence of special outreach, in accordance with 24 CFR 578.93(c)(1).
13. **Prioritization**—When resources are scarce, the coordinated assessment process should prioritize who will receive assistance based on their needs. Coordinated assessment should never result in long waiting lists for assistance. Instead, when there are many more people who are assessed to receive an intervention than there are available openings, the process should refer only individuals with the greatest needs.
14. **Inform system change efforts**—Information gathered during the coordinated assessment process should identify what types of programs are most needed in the community and be used by the CoC and other community leaders to allocate resources.

## Recommended Qualities of a Good Standardized Assessment Tool

While HUD requires that CoCs use a standardized assessment tool, it does not endorse any specific tool or approach, there are universal qualities that any tool used by a CoC for their coordinated assessment process should include.

1. **Valid**—Tools should be evidence-informed, criteria-driven, tested to ensure that they are appropriately matching people to the right interventions and levels of assistance, responsive to the needs presented by the individual or family being assessed, and should make meaningful recommendations for housing and services.
2. **Reliable**—The tool should produce consistent results, even when different staff members conduct the assessment or the assessment is done in different locations.
3. **Inclusive**—The tool should encompass the full range of housing and services interventions needed to end homelessness, and where possible, facilitate referrals to the existing inventory of housing and services.
4. **Person-centered**—Common assessment tools put people—not programs—at the center of offering the interventions that work best. Assessments should provide options and recommendations that guide and inform client choices, as opposed to rigid decisions about what individuals or families need. High value and weight should be given to clients' goals and preferences.
5. **User-friendly**—The tool should be brief, easily administered by non-clinical staff including outreach workers and volunteers, worded in a way that is easily understood by those being assessed, and minimize the time required to utilize.
6. **Strengths-based**—The tool should assess both barriers **and** strengths to permanent housing attainment, incorporating a risk and protective factors perspective into understanding the diverse needs of people.
7. **Housing First orientation**—The tool should use a Housing First frame. The tool should not be used to determine “housing readiness” or screen people out for housing assistance, and therefore should not encompass an in-depth clinical assessment. A more in-depth clinical assessment can be administered once the individual or family has obtained housing to determine and offer an appropriate service package.
8. **Sensitive to lived experiences**—Providers should recognize that assessment, both the kinds of questions asked and the context in which the assessment is administered, can cause harm and risk to individuals or families, especially if they require people to relive difficult experiences. The tool's questions should be worded and asked in a manner that is sensitive to the lived and sometimes traumatic experiences of people experiencing homelessness. The tool should minimize risk and harm, and allow individuals or families to refuse to answer questions. Agencies administering the assessment should have and follow protocols to address any psychological impacts caused by the assessment and should administer the assessment in a private space, preferably a room with a door, or, if outside, away from others' earshot. Those administering the tool should be trained to recognize signs of trauma or anxiety.

Additionally, the tool should link people to services that are culturally sensitive and appropriate and are accessible to them in view of their disabilities, *e.g.*, deaf or hard of hearing, blind or low vision, mobility impairments

9. **Transparent**—The relationship between particular assessment questions and the recommended options should be easy to discern. The tool should not be a “black box” such that it is unclear why a question is asked and how it relates to the recommendations or options provided.